

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

M E E T I N G

---

HUNTERS POINT SHIPYARD  
RESTORATION ADVISORY BOARD

---

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT

August 23, 2001

San Francisco Police Department  
Bayview Station Community Room  
201 Williams Avenue  
San Francisco, California

Reported by Christine M. Niccoli, RPR, C.S.R. No. 4569  
===== \*\*\*\*\* =====

NICCOLI REPORTING

619 Pilgrim Drive

Foster City, CA 94404-1707

(650) 573-9339

CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTERS SERVING THE BAY AREA

1 FACILITATOR: MAURICE CAMPBELL, Business Development,  
2 Inc.; Community First Coalition; New  
3 California Media; NEW BAYVIEW NEWSPAPER;  
4 SAN FRANCISCO BAY VIEW  
5  
6 CO-CHAIRS: RICHARD G. MACH JR. - United States Navy  
7 DOROTHY PETERSON\* - Hunters Point resident  
8 CAROLINE WASHINGTON\* - SEC Advisory Board  
9

A T T E N D E E S:

6 JAMES ANSBRO - Resident  
7  
8 LANI ASHER - Communities for a Better Environment,  
9 Community First Coalition  
10  
11 DOUG BIELSKIS - Tetra Tech EM Inc.  
12  
13 JULIAN BILLOTTE - Shipyard Trust for the Arts  
14  
15 JEFFREY BLANKFORT - KPOO, San Francisco Liberation Radio,  
16 TUC Radio  
17  
18 BILL BREEDLOVE - IT Group  
19  
20 ERIC BROWN - Tetra Tech EM Inc.  
21  
22 LYNNE BROWN\* - Communities for a Better Environment,  
23 Community First Coalition  
24  
25 AMY BROWNELL\* - San Francisco Department of Public Health  
26  
27 MAUDE BULLOCK - ADI Technology Corporation  
28  
29 BARBARA BUSHNELL\* - Roses, resident  
30  
31 AL CAIN - A. M. Cain Trucking  
32  
33 A. DON CAPOBRES - San Francisco Redevelopment Agency  
34  
35 AMAN CASEY  
36  
37 CYNTHIA CASEY - Smallbear, Inc./ADI Technology  
38 Corporation  
39  
40 THOMAS CASEY - City of Oakland  
41  
42 \_\_\_\_\_  
43  
44 \*RAB member

1 ATTENDEES [Cont.]:

2 DEE CHANDLER - United States Navy Southwest Division

3 ERIC CORPUZ - Project Area Committee

4 DOUGLAS DAVENPORT - Tetra Tech EM Inc.

5 DARYL DeLONG - New World Technology

6 DAVID DeMARS - United States Navy

7 LAURIE ESPINOZA\* - Labor neighbor

8 JILL FOX\* - India Basin Neighborhood Association

9 JANICE GALE - Naval Radiological Defense Laboratory's  
former assistant librarian

10 JON C. GEISBUSH - ADI Technology Corporation

11 MARIE HARRISON\* - SAN FRANCISCO BAY VIEW

12 MITSUYO HASEGAWA\* - JRM Associates

13 LUKE HAYES - Business Development, Inc.

14 BOB HOCKER - Lennar-Bayview-Hunters Point Team

15 DAVID HORTON - United States Army

16 CAROLYN HUNTER - Tetra Tech EM Inc.

17 CEDRIC JACKSON - Smallbear, Inc.

18 OSCAR F. L. JAMES

19 KRISTEN JENSEN, Esquire - Sheppard Mullin RH for Lennar

20 RONALD KEICHLINE - Bechtel National, Inc.

21 DOUG KOHL - ADI/Sierra Communications

22 SUSAN KOHL - ADI/Sierra Communications

23 JACQUELINE ANN LANE\* - US Environmental Protection Agency

24 \_\_\_\_\_

25 \*RAB member

1 ATTENDEES [Cont.]:

2 PETER LAWRENCE - IT Group

3 LAURA L. LOWMAN - United States Navy Radiological Affairs  
Support Office (RASO)

4 RICHARD LOWMAN - United States Navy Radiological Affairs  
5 Support Office (RASO)

6 J. R. MANUEL\* - JRM Associates, India Basin resident

7 JESSE MASON\* - Bayview-Hunters Point Community Advocates

8 MAXWELL - MIF resident

9 RON MAYS

10 KIMBERLY MERRIMAN, Bechtel National, Inc.

11 LEUREN MORET - Scientists for Indigenous People,  
Association for Women Geoscientists

12 KARINA NEUTEN - Office of Congresswoman Nancy Pelosi

13 GEORGIA OLIVA - Communities for a Better Environment,  
14 CCA member

15 CHUCK PARDINI - Levine-Fricke for Lennar

16 HENRY PARRA SR. - Bayview resident

17 DON PAUL - Housing is a Human Right

18 JOHN PELOSI - United States Navy (NAVSEA)

19 KAREN G. PIERCE - Bayview Advocates, BVHP Democratic Club

20 TOM PINARD - United States Navy

21 WILLIE RATCLIFF - SAN FRANCISCO BAY VIEW

22 JANET WEIL - East Bay Peace Action

23 MELITA RINES - Communities for a Better Environment

24 \_\_\_\_\_  
\*RAB member

25

1 ATTENDEES [Cont.]:

2 JIM RODRIGUEZ\* - Portola Place Homeowners Association,  
Roses

3 LEONARD ROSS - Community member

4 SARA SEAGULL - Environmental Protection Agency

5 CYNTHIA SELMAR - San Francisco Department of Public  
6 Health/African-American Health

7 CHRISTINE SHIRLEY\* - ARC Ecology

8 AHIMSA PORTER SUMCHAI, M.D.\* - BVHP Coalition on  
Environment

9 ANTHONY TALAMANTEZ - Tetra Tech EM Inc.

10 KEITH TISDELL\* - Hunters Point resident

11 RAYMOND TOMPKINS\* - Bayview-Hunters Point Coalition on  
12 Environment

13 LOUISE VAUGHN\* - Community activist

14 JULIA VIERA - Friends of Islais Creek

15 MAURICIO VINDELL - Bayview-Hunters Point HEAP

16 ROY WILLIS - Lennar

17 ANNA M. WIZIARDE

18 DARIUS K. WOODWARD - Young Community Developers

19 MICHAEL WORK\* - US Environmental Protection Agency

20 LEILANI WRIGHT\* - JRM Associates

21 \_\_\_\_\_  
\*RAB member

22

1 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA, THURSDAY, AUGUST 23, 2001

2 6:01 P.M.

3 ---oOo---

4 MR. CAMPBELL: Good evening. My name is  
5 Maurice Campbell. I will be facilitating the meeting  
6 this evening for John Scott. John Scott was injured in  
7 a car accident, and he still hasn't come back.

8 What I'd like to do is start off with  
9 introductions. Why don't we start off on that end.

10 MS. BUSHNELL: I'm Barbara Bushnell. I'm a  
11 resident of Bayview and a member of the RAB board.

12 MS. PETERSON: Dorothy Peterson, outgoing RAB  
13 co-chair.

14 MS. VAUGHN: Louise Vaughn.

15 MR. WORK: Michael Work, Environmental  
16 Protection Agency.

17 MS. WASHINGTON: Caroline Washington, co-chair.

18 MS. HARRISON: Marie Harrison, RAB member,  
19 community, and a few other things.

20 MR. TISDELL: My name is Keith Ti- -- Keith  
21 Ti- -- Keith Tisdell, RAB member, resident of Hunters  
22 Point.

23 MS. WRIGHT: Leilani Wright, RAB member.

24 MR. KEICHLINE: Ronald Keichline, Bechtel  
25 community relations.

1 MR. DAVENPORT: Doug Davenport with Tetra Tech.  
2 MR. BREEDLOVE: Bill Breedlove with the IT  
3 Group.  
4 MR. LAWRENCE: Peter Lawrence, IT.  
5 MR. BROWN: Eric Brown, Tetra Tech.  
6 MR. TALAMANTEZ: Anthony Talamantez, Tetra  
7 Tech.  
8 MR. PARDINI: Chuck Pardini, Levine-Fricke.  
9 MR. ATTENDEE: Who?  
10 MS. GALE: Janice --  
11 MR. PARDINI: Chuck Pardini.  
12 MS. JENSEN: Kristen Jensen for Lennar.  
13 MS. GALE: Janice Gale, former employee of  
14 NRDL.  
15 MS. WEIL: Janet Weil, East Bay Peace Action.  
16 MS. SELMAR: Cynthia Selmar, Department of  
17 Public Health/African-American Health.  
18 MR. GEISBUSH: Jon Geisbush, ADI.  
19 MS. CASEY: Cyndi Casey, Smallbear,  
20 Incorporated.  
21 MS. BULLOCK: Maude Bullock, ADI.  
22 MR. R. LOWMAN: Dick Lowman, NAVSEADET RASO.  
23 MS. L. LOWMAN: Laurie Lowman, NAVSEADET RASO.  
24 MR. BIELSKIS: Doug Bielskis, Tetra Tech.  
25 MS. HUNTER: Carolyn Hunter, Tetra Tech.

1 MR. MACH: Richard Mach. I'm the Navy's BRAC  
2 Environmental Coordinator and the Navy representative as  
3 the Hunters Point co-chair.

4 MR. DeMARS: Dave DeMars, lead RPM for the  
5 Navy.

6 MS. BROWNELL: Amy Brownell, San Francisco  
7 Health Department.

8 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Jim Rodriguez, RAB member,  
9 Portola Place Homeowners Association.

10 MR. BROWN: Lynne Brown, resident, Communities  
11 for a Better Environment.

12 MR. MASON: Jesse Mason, lifelong resident of  
13 Bayview-Hunters Point, Bayview-Hunters Point Community  
14 Advocates, RAB member.

15 MR. TOMPKINS: Raymond Tompkins,  
16 Bayview-Hunters Point Coalition on the Environment and  
17 RAB board member.

18 DR. SUMCHAI: Dr. Ahimsa Sumchai, RAB board  
19 member.

20 MS. SHIRLEY: Chris Shirley, ARC Ecology.

21 MS. ESPINOZA: Laurie Espinoza, RAB member,  
22 labor neighbor.

23 MR. HOCKER: Bob Hocker, Lennar-BVHP Team.

24 MR. CAPOBRES: Don Capobres, project manager,  
25 San Francisco Redevelopment Agency.



1 MS. CHANDLER: Dee Chandler, Southwest Division  
2 Public Affairs Officer.

3 MR. RATCLIFF: Willie Ratcliff, publisher of  
4 the SAN FRANCISCO BAY VIEW.

5 MR. CAIN: Al Cain, A.M. Cain Trucking

6 MR. KOHL: Doug Kohl, Sierra.

7 MS. KOHL: Susan Kohl, Sierra.

8 MS. SEAGULL: Sara Seagull, EPA.

9 MS. VIERA: Julia Viera, Friends of Islais  
10 Creek and La Salle Heights Homeowners Association.

11 MS. LANE: Jackie Lane, community involvement  
12 EPA.

13 MS. RINES: Melita Rines, resident, CBE member.

14 MR. CASEY: Thomas Casey, planning consultant.

15 MR. VINDELL: Mauricio Vindell, Bayview-Hunters  
16 Point Health and Environmental Assessment Task Force.

17 MS. PIERCE: Karen Pierce, Bayview Health and  
18 Environmental Assessment Task Force.

19 MR. HORTON: Dave Horton, US Army.

20 MR. DeLONG: Daryl DeLong, New World  
21 Technology.

22 MR. BILLOTTE: Julian Billotte, Shipyard Trust  
23 for the Arts.

24 MR. ROSS: Leonard Ross, sole community  
25 activist.

1           MR. BLANKFORT:   Jeffrey Blankfort, radio  
2 station KPOO.

3           MS. OLIVA:   Georgia Oliva, artist at Hunters  
4 Point Shipyard.

5           MS. ASHER:   Lani Asher, artist, Hunters Point  
6 Shipyard.

7           MR. ANSBRO:   Jim Ansbro, resident.

8           MR. PELOSI:   John Pelosi, US Navy.

9           MAXWELL:   Maxwell, resident.

10          MR. PINARD:   Tom Pinard, BRAC Public Affairs  
11 with the Navy in San Francisco and your door opener.

12          MR. MASON:   Good job, good job.

13          MR. TOMPKINS:   Good job.

14          MS. FOX:   Jill Fox, community RAB member  
15 representing India Basin Neighborhood Association.

16          MR. CAMPBELL:   Thank you.

17          This evening we have a rather long agenda, so  
18 what we have to do is be rather concise in time; and we  
19 have to be respectful of each other when they're  
20 talking.

21          Now, if you have a cell phone, please turn it  
22 off because it will tend to disrupt the meeting.

23          And as you --

24          MR. TISDELL:   I'd like to leave mine on for  
25 emergency.

1 MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah, I understand you have  
2 special circumstances.

3 MR. TISDELL: Yeah.

4 MR. CAMPBELL: Okay.

5 Is there anybody else with special  
6 circumstances?

7 Okay. Thank you.

8 Basically, what we have in this long agenda, we  
9 have got from six to ten -- 6:10; we're going to do this  
10 right now. From 6:10 to 6:20, we have approve --  
11 approval of meeting minutes from June 28th and the  
12 July 26th RAB meetings and announcements.

13 You probably have these agendas. Is there  
14 anybody who does not have this agenda? Okay.

15 So let's go -- let's move on to the approval of  
16 the meeting minutes from July 28th [sic], 2001, and  
17 July 26th. Is there any discussions?

18 DR. SUMCHAI: Yes. In keeping with Robert's  
19 Rules of Order and customary conduct of public meetings,  
20 I would like us to have a formal approval of the agenda  
21 before we pour into the minutes.

22 I'd like to say with regard to this agenda that  
23 it had been announced very publicly that the Community  
24 Notification Plan was to have been presented at the  
25 August meeting, and it isn't listed on the agenda. So

1 we may want to make room for that presentation certainly  
2 at September meeting.

3 I also took exception with the -- well, with  
4 the agenda item of the -- let's see. The Bylaws &  
5 Membership Subcommittee is listed here at 6:20 to 6 p.m.  
6 [sic], but it would best be discussed under  
7 "Subcommittee Reports." It wasn't clear to me why that  
8 subcommittee was isolated from the rest of the  
9 subcommittee reports.

10 MR. CAMPBELL: Thank you. Well noted.

11 Is there any other comments on the minutes?

12 MS. HARRISON: In the minutes or the agenda?

13 DR. SUMCHAI: This is --

14 MS. HARRISON: The minutes or the agenda?

15 MR. TOMPKINS: The agenda?

16 MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah.

17 MR. TOMPKINS: On the agenda was the same issue  
18 of having separation of subcommittees that whatever we  
19 have within the agenda, I think it should be placed  
20 together and not separated 'cause all sub- -- all  
21 committees, period, have equal credibility, not one  
22 above the other.

23 MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. Can --

24 MR. TOMPKINS: So I make a motion --

25 MR. CAMPBELL: -- I --?

1 MR. TOMPKINS: -- that these be moved.

2 MR. CAMPBELL: Thank you.

3 And when anybody is speaking, please identify  
4 yourselves. Thank you.

5 Jill Fox.

6 MS. FOX: My name tag is missing.

7 I wasn't sure if Ray made a motion or not.

8 I'll make a motion to move the point "Bylaw and  
9 Membership Subcommittee report" down to the 7:45 slot.

10 MR. CAMPBELL: Do we have a second on that  
11 motion?

12 MS. HARRISON: I'll second.

13 MR. TOMPKINS: Second.

14 MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. Let's have a vote on  
15 that --

16 MS. MACH: Or discussion.

17 MR. CAMPBELL: -- or discussion.

18 Yes?

19 MR. MACH: Maurice, I was asked by the  
20 Membership Subcommittee to move that up in front of the  
21 co-chair elections because they do potentially play into  
22 the elections. And so if you're going to move the whole  
23 thing, I recommend you move the elections to the end as  
24 well.

25 MR. CAMPBELL: Thank you.

1 Do we have a second on that?

2 MS. FOX: I'll revise my motion to move the  
3 whole --

4 MR. CAMPBELL: Any further discussion?

5 MS. FOX: -- twenty minutes down.

6 MR. TOMPKINS: Call for the question.

7 MR. CAMPBELL: Call for the question?

8 MR. TOMPKINS: Call for the question.

9 MR. CAMPBELL: Call for the question, yeah.

10 MS. PETERSON: Point of clarification.

11 MR. CAMPBELL: Yes.

12 MS. PETERSON: What is the motion? Did you  
13 revise it to --?

14 MS. FOX: Yeah. The motion is that we move  
15 this 6:20 to 6:40 twenty minutes' bylaws and community  
16 co-chair election down to the 7:45 slot.

17 MS. PETERSON: Then you said you were going to  
18 revise it?

19 MS. FOX: No.

20 That's my -- That's the revised version.

21 MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. Let's have a vote on  
22 that, please.

23 MR. MASON: Okay.

24 MR. CAMPBELL: All in favor?

25 THE BOARD: Aye.

1 MR. CAMPBELL: Any opposed?

2 (No verbal response elicited.)

3 MR. CAMPBELL: Motion carries.

4 Okay. Any announcements?

5 MR. TOMPKINS: Sure -- Approval of the

6 minutes.

7 MS. PETERSON: We still haven't approved the

8 minutes.

9 MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. Let's go through approval

10 of the minutes.

11 MS. WASHINGTON: One other thing on this agenda

12 I'd like to speak about.

13 MR. TOMPKINS: Okay.

14 MS. HARRISON: Maurice, excuse me. But

15 Caroline just -- I think you didn't hear, but she said

16 she had another agenda item --

17 MR. CAMPBELL: Oh, okay.

18 MS. HARRISON: -- that was -- or another agenda

19 question, she said.

20 MR. CAMPBELL: Okay.

21 Caroline?

22 MS. WASHINGTON: Why is it that I'm not listed

23 on there as co-chair again?

24 MR. MASON: Good question.

25 MR. CAMPBELL: Anybody care to answer that?

1 MS. HARRISON: There was somebody who can  
2 answer that.

3 MR. MACH: I'll answer it. Because Ron and I  
4 made a mistake.

5 I'm sorry -- I'm very sorry, Caroline.

6 MS. WASHINGTON: I just didn't want you to kick  
7 me out.

8 MR. MACH: We did not.

9 MR. MASON: We got you back.

10 MR. MACH: I'm sorry.

11 MR. MASON: We got you back.

12 MR. MACH: I did call you to discuss the agenda  
13 with you too. I'm sorry that you were not listed there.

14 MS. WASHINGTON: Okay. You're forgiven this  
15 time.

16 MR. MACH: Thank you.

17 MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. On June 28th --

18 MR. TOMPKINS: Excuse me. Can I get a point of  
19 clarification?

20 Richard, I didn't understand you. Did you  
21 meant --? Did you say you didn't call her for approval  
22 of the agenda?

23 MR. MACH: No. I did call her and left her a  
24 message for approval of the agenda. I made a mistake  
25 with Ron that we inadvertently left her name off of this



1 again.

2 MR. TOMPKINS: Okay. Thank you.

3 MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. Is there any discussion  
4 on the approval of the minutes?

5 Yes.

6 DR. SUMCHAI: I would suggest that we approve  
7 the minutes from the June 28th, 2001, meeting and the  
8 July 26th, 2001, meeting.

9 I do want to point out to all of the RAB  
10 members that in so approving these minutes, we're  
11 recognizing that we have identified two community  
12 co-chairs under the "List of Attendees" and on the  
13 agenda.

14 And we also -- under Jill Fox's presentation  
15 community report from the July 26th meeting, she  
16 states -- "She explained that she had previously served  
17 as one of the community co-chairs and then outlined some  
18 of the roles and responsibilities of the position." And  
19 she also thanked the "current Co-chairs, Ms. Peterson  
20 and Ms. Carol -- Caroline Washington."

21 So clearly as of the July meeting, we have  
22 acknowledged in these meetings that we have two  
23 community co-chairs.

24 MR. CAMPBELL: Thank you.

25 Is there any other discussion?

1 MR. TOMPKINS: Yes.

2 On reviewing the minutes and other members have  
3 informed me that there was somehow a vote taken to --  
4 with the issue of co-chair. I do not see that in this  
5 synopsis of it after I read it, nor did I see -- in the  
6 extended version on my computer did I see any vote taken  
7 on having a -- that's part of the confusion I have on  
8 this ballot that was sent to me, and I was looking in  
9 the minutes for clarity on the matter.

10 Can anybody clarify this?

11 MS. PETERSON: There was no vote taken.

12 MR. TOMPKINS: Because it was only single, and  
13 it was expressed to me that was -- I can't see it. Is  
14 there something missing?

15 MS. PETERSON: There was no vote taken.

16 MR. CAMPBELL: Jill?

17 MS. FOX: I think what's happened is that  
18 although the bylaws, which we checked very carefully at  
19 our bylaws committee, they never say how many co-chairs  
20 you can have. It doesn't say "one." It sort of implies  
21 one.

22 In the past we have had more than one community  
23 co-chair, because the co-chairs served the roles that  
24 now we have the com- -- the committee chairs serving.  
25 Like, when there were three of us, I did meeting minutes

1 stuff, and Dorothy did outreach on jobs, and Caroline  
2 did outreach on membership. So we had tasks assigned.  
3 We split all of the work.

4 I think that the -- My opinion is that it  
5 doesn't really say; and we have never made a decision,  
6 really, on how many co-chairs.

7 But that at this point we have these committee  
8 chairs and it -- who are going to fulfill that role of  
9 taking on some of the tasks that previously fell to one  
10 co-chair, and it was a little overwhelming.

11 MR. CAMPBELL: Dorothy?

12 MS. PETERSON: I'm piggybacking on that and  
13 also saying, there was no vote taken.

14 When Jill, myself, Caroline, and Tebaux were  
15 nominated co-chairs, it was as she said: It was too  
16 much work for one person. I didn't have time to do it.  
17 Jill didn't have time to do it. Tebaux didn't even  
18 accept the position because he got a job. And the same  
19 for the last time. Jill couldn't take it because of  
20 personal, you know, problems, personal issues. And it  
21 really did stem from that. We were doing outreach.

22 But there has always been one community  
23 co-chair. We did not change bylaws or anything, because  
24 it's -- it's not in red. It's not in blue. It's in  
25 black, which means that that's the way it has always

1 been.

2 MR. CAMPBELL: Ray?

3 MR. TOMPKINS: Okay.

4 As a member of the Board, I find it somewhat  
5 confusing as I read the ballot. It said only select one  
6 candidate.

7 When we nominated, none of this discussion took  
8 place. There was no vote. Therefore, it is my  
9 impression that when we vote later on this evening,  
10 there are two positions for community co-chairs. They  
11 can't go back arbitrarily, because the committee -- the  
12 committee is to present that to the Board. We are to  
13 discuss that and then vote on it under Robert's rule.

14 Under your bylaws, which states that unless  
15 specifically outlined within the bylaws, Robert's Rule  
16 of Order will then prevail to the governance of this  
17 organization.

18 MS. PETERSON: That has to be voted on too.

19 MR. TOMPKINS: Therefore, unless we place it up  
20 for discussion, I think the ballot is inappropriately  
21 marked.

22 There were two co-chairs as of last July  
23 positions open that people ran for and were nominated.  
24 Therefore, it cannot be changed arbitrarily but  
25 presented instead to the body for discussion, and that

1 should be reflected in the minutes.

2 MS. PETERSON: Point of clarification.

3 MR. CAMPBELL: Okay.

4 MS. PETERSON: One co-chair ran, and that was  
5 me.

6 MR. TOMPKINS: No, ma'am. There were --

7 MS. PETERSON: One --

8 MR. TOMPKINS: -- positions --

9 MS. PETERSON: -- co-chair ran, and that was  
10 me. I ran unopposed.

11 MR. CAMPBELL: Excuse me.

12 Okay, Keith.

13 MR. TISDELL: Well, to -- to me, to stop the  
14 bickering is: Why not leave it like it is, you know,  
15 with two?

16 For one, even though you do have the different  
17 subcommittees, and that's just like, say, Jill's trying  
18 to get in touch with Dorothy, and Dorothy -- you know,  
19 just say you -- you out shopping, you know, and can't  
20 get in touch with you. Then -- then there's someone  
21 else, you know. This -- I'm just giving a  
22 for-instance, you know.

23 And -- and -- and so in that way it keeps --  
24 it -- you know, it keeps us talking to each other  
25 instead of having attitude with one and not getting in

1 touch.

2 MR. CAMPBELL: So --

3 MR. TISDELL: You got a hand down there.

4 MR. CAMPBELL: Marie Harrison?

5 MS. HARRISON: Let me see if I understand this

6 correctly. If I understand this correctly, we want

7 to -- according to Keith, to keep down confusion; and I

8 think I kind of agree with if having two worked in the

9 past, then it should work now. So I just don't see any

10 problem -- any reason making that out of an issue.

11 MR. TISDELL: Very true.

12 MS. HARRISON: So maybe what we need to do is

13 to eliminate that as being an issue by moving it to the

14 floor as a motion and having it voted on with a show of

15 hands or whatever, because it just gets it out of the

16 way.

17 MR. CAMPBELL: Sure.

18 Okay. Right now we're on the approval of the

19 minutes.

20 MS. HARRISON: Okay. So -- Well, then I will

21 remove that and place it at the 7 . . . da-da, da-da,

22 da-da, da-da-da --

23 MR. TISDELL: Yeah, down there at the bottom.

24 MS. HARRISON: Yeah -- at the 7:45 time, and

25 that way maybe we can just get it out of the way

1 and . . . Okay?

2 MR. CAMPBELL: Okay.

3 MR. TOMPKINS: Mm-hmm.

4 DR. SUMCHAI: I'd like to move that we accept  
5 the minutes from the June and the July meeting if there  
6 are no corrections that need to be made.

7 MR. TISDELL: Second.

8 MS. ATTENDEE: Second.

9 MR. CAMPBELL: Okay.

10 MR. TOMPKINS: I have one correction to be  
11 made. On the page that deals with affiliations of  
12 organization.

13 MR. MACH: Which?

14 MR. TOMPKINS: The last page for the --

15 MR. MACH: June or July?

16 MR. TOMPKINS: -- July 26th meeting,  
17 "Bayview-Hunters Point Coalition" should be next to my  
18 name, resident, in terms of classification. I suggest  
19 if anybody else should review that and check major.

20 MR. CAMPBELL: Okay.

21 Are there any other corrections?

22 Call for a vote. All in favor of approval?

23 THE BOARD: Aye.

24 MR. CAMPBELL: Any abstaining?

25 (No verbal response elicited.)

1 MR. CAMPBELL: Thank you. Okay.

2 Pardon me?

3 MS. SHIRLEY: Any opposed?

4 MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. Announcements?

5 Mr. Tisdell --

6 MR. TISDELL: Hi. My name is Keith Tisdell.

7 I'm a RAB member. And this will be my final meeting for

8 a while, and the reason for that is: I have emergencies

9 pertaining to my fiancée at home, and I know I won't be

10 able to -- to come to RAB meetings like I should.

11 Don, would you stand up.

12 This is my alternate, and he will have the same

13 powers I have. I just turn it over to him until I be

14 back maybe around May of next year.

15 MR. CAMPBELL: Could you identify yourself,

16 please.

17 MR. PAUL: I'm Don Paul. I work with Housing

18 is a Human Right as well as the District 10 Hunters

19 Point-Bayview.

20 MR. CAMPBELL: Okay.

21 Are there any other announcements?

22 MS. SHIRLEY: Yeah, I have an announcement.

23 Oh, okay.

24 I have a letter here that is signed by all of

25 the RAB committee chairs -- sorry -- in which we -- we



1 expressed the opinion that we don't feel comfortable  
2 with the ADI situation, and we don't feel that we want  
3 ADI coming to the committee meetings and helping  
4 organize us and that sort of thing until some issues are  
5 resolved.

6           So I have a letter here that -- I have copies  
7 for the Navy, but I don't have copies for everyone at  
8 this time.

9           Do you --? I can read it if you'd like.

10 Question?

11           MS. HARRISON: Can you read it into -- read it  
12 into the record?

13           MS. SHIRLEY: Yes.

14           MS. HARRISON: Then that way it's available.

15           MS. PETERSON: Point of clarification because I  
16 don't remember that being discussed at the Membership &  
17 Bylaws Committee. So I don't know --

18           MS. SHIRLEY: This is only --

19           MS. PETERSON: -- how --

20           MS. SHIRLEY: -- signed by the chairs. It's  
21 not a sense of the committee.

22           MS. PETERSON: Well, when the chair signs  
23 something, it carries the weight of the committee. And  
24 the Bylaws & Membership Committee did not discuss that.

25           DR. SUMCHAI: You haven't had your proposed

1 authority voted into --

2 MS. PETERSON: I'm saying --

3 DR. SUMCHAI: -- and ratified by this body.

4 MS. PETERSON: -- part of that committee --

5 MR. CAMPBELL: Let's have one person talking at  
6 a time, please.

7 MS. PETERSON: Yeah. As a part of that  
8 committee, when a chair signs something, it carries the  
9 weight of the entire committee. Yeah, and we didn't  
10 discuss that. So, you know, I'm protesting that. I  
11 mean, at least --

12 DR. SUMCHAI: Well --

13 MS. PETERSON: -- let's discuss it at the  
14 committee.

15 MR. CAMPBELL: Keith?

16 MR. TISDELL: Okay. When the Membership &  
17 Bylaws Committee was first came up in the -- the meeting  
18 in June, I passed around a paper with my name and number  
19 on it. The paper came back with five or six names on  
20 it. And my number was the only one on there, you know.

21 And then the next thing that I got in the mail  
22 was from Barbara Bushnell about some meeting they had  
23 and some -- I got it at home -- about some amendments  
24 and stuff I didn't know nothing about.

25 MS. FOX: Just a point of clarification. I did

1 contact you. I left a message with a phone number I  
2 have for you about the meeting. It was the same message  
3 that everyone got. Just so you know. We tried to  
4 contact you. So -- and I apologize. And we want to --  
5 we did not mean to exclude you from the membership  
6 meeting that was held. So it was obviously a  
7 communication problem.

8 MR. CAMPBELL: Dr. Sumchai?

9 DR. SUMCHAI: Let me say that this letter is  
10 being introduced appropriately under "Announcements" and  
11 that Ms. Peterson's point is totally inappropriate. The  
12 Membership Subcommittee under the bylaws reviewses [sic]  
13 all RA- -- reviews all RAB member applications whenever  
14 a position becomes available. There is nothing here  
15 that indicates that Membership & Bylaws is the conduit,  
16 or the gateway, of every issue that comes before the  
17 RAB.

18 MR. CAMPBELL: Miss Peterson?

19 MS. PETERSON: Did you wish for me to respond?

20 MR. CAMPBELL: I'm sorry.

21 MS. PETERSON: Okay.

22 MS. BUSHNELL: All Dorothy is saying is true.  
23 If that's signed by the chairs of the committee and the  
24 committee has not -- Bylaws Committee has not even  
25 addressed it, it's impossible for the chair of the

1 committee to have endorsed a policy the committee has  
2 not discussed.

3           So she's saying as far as the Bylaws  
4 Subcommittee is concerned, this was not discussed by the  
5 committee, and we can't endorse it.

6           MR. CAMPBELL: Chris Shirley?

7           MS. SHIRLEY: I just want to say that this --  
8 I -- just for clarification, I signed this for -- for  
9 myself and not on behalf of the other committee members,  
10 and I think the letter makes it clear that we are  
11 speaking as individuals.

12           MR. TOMPKINS: I would suggest read into the  
13 record.

14           MS. SHIRLEY: All right. Can I read it into  
15 the record?

16           MS. PETERSON: Read it into the record with  
17 the -- with that addendum.

18           MS. SHIRLEY: Right. That's why I was  
19 trying -- That's what I'm --

20           MS. PETERSON: Addendum.

21           MS. SHIRLEY: All right. It says:

22                   We are writing to express our concern  
23    regarding the Navy's announcement that ADI  
24    Technology has been hired to assist the Navy  
25    with "community outreach" in the

1 Bayview-Hunters Point community. We recognize  
2 this decision was made on a national level.

3 We appreciate you may need help with  
4 organizing meetings in the community. We are  
5 disappointed, however, that did not bring the  
6 RFP to our attention and that ADI was allowed  
7 to subcontract with another firm without first  
8 soliciting interest from the Hunters Point  
9 community.

10 There are several people in this  
11 community who are entirely capable of providing  
12 "community outreach." These people should have  
13 been contacted.

14 Furthermore, we, the RAB committee  
15 chairs, do not feel comfortable working with  
16 Mr. Cedric Jackson. He's a city employee. By  
17 giving us a city-supplied e-mail address with  
18 which to contact him, it appears that he is  
19 using city resources in a private contract.

20 As things stand now, we will not work  
21 with ADI or its subcontractors to organize  
22 community RAB meetings -- wait a minute -- to  
23 organize -- There's typo in here.  
24 Anyway . . . We will not meet with ADI or its  
25 subcontractors to discuss the involvement of

1       ADI in our business. ADI and its  
2       subcontractors are not invited to our committee  
3       meetings.

4               As for community outreach, if you  
5       want to pay somebody to do what we are already  
6       doing, then pay us.

7               Yours very truly,  
8               Jesse Mason, Chris Shirley, Ahimsa  
9       Sumchai, Ray Tompkins, Keith Tisdell, Lynne  
10      Brown.

11       MR. RATCLIFF: Amen.

12       MR. CAMPBELL: Are there any --?

13       MR. JACKSON: Except for one thing: I am not a  
14 city employee, and I did not give you a city e-mail  
15 address.

16       MR. TISDELL: Oh, yes, you did. Oh, yes, you  
17 did.

18       MR. CAMPBELL: Hold it. Hold it. Hold it.  
19 Wait, wait, wait, wait. Wait.

20       MS. HARRISON: Point of order. Point of order.

21       MR. CAMPBELL: Let's have point of order.

22       MS. HARRISON: Point of order.

23       MR. CAMPBELL: Marie Harrison.

24       MS. HARRISON: The way I see this going i- --  
25 is that there's going to be some confusion here. Is

1 this the op- --? Is this the time that you wanted --  
2 that you want to take to discuss this, or are we going  
3 to read this into the record? And we have other  
4 announcements, and afterwards we can do any discussion.  
5 But for right now I don't think it's the right time to  
6 get into an argument about what's what and who's who.

7 MR. CAMPBELL: Basically -- basically --

8 MS. HARRISON: We have a letter signed by --  
9 one, two, three -- at least -- what?

10 MS. SHIRLEY: Six individuals.

11 MS. HARRISON: -- six individuals who are  
12 dutified [sic] board members. I think that that should  
13 be read into the record and that if there's going to be  
14 some discussion, we do it after the fact. There are  
15 still other discussions on the board.

16 Can we just follow your --?

17 MR. CAMPBELL: Yes, that's correct.

18 Okay. Are there any other announcements?

19 MR. MACH: I do have a couple real quick.

20 On the Action Items list, the first three  
21 action items had to do with re-sending meeting minutes,  
22 not using zip files, that sort of thing. All three of  
23 those have been taken care of.

24 The fourth one with regard to how NRDL  
25 demolition debris was transported out of Hunters Point,

1 RASO is still looking into it. They have been here the  
2 last couple days going through more records. They don't  
3 have every piece of the answer for that, but that will  
4 be included in the HRA. So that -- that is our update  
5 for this action item. HRA will document that.

6 Two other quick announcements.

7 One, although it's not on the agenda, I was  
8 planning on announcing that -- that the Community  
9 Notification Plan Subcommittee -- not a subcommittee of  
10 here, of the RAB, but it is a subcommittee on its own --  
11 has completed the Community Notification Plan. We are  
12 in the process of doing full distribution of that plan.  
13 Several copies are available in the back.

14 We're also going to make color copies of the  
15 cover page available so that then the Third Street  
16 display window can have those and maybe just print off  
17 the hard copy, which is only about three pages of actual  
18 text.

19 The tables that are in the back, which is  
20 basically just a distribution list of the notification,  
21 will continue to be maintained and updated, and we're  
22 getting ready to put that on the Web page, and Tom  
23 Pinard will get that out to the entire list.

24 And the final thing is: There should be an  
25 e-mail in the back, which I had sent to all of the San



1 Francisco Bay Area BECs, so myself and all the other  
2 bases, as well as Dorothy and Jo-Lynne Lee from the  
3 Alameda RAB and people back in our headquarters and --  
4 and my management chain, talking about trying to  
5 coordinate this public meeting, slash, the training  
6 that's being requested.

7           And all the other RABs are looking at doing the  
8 same thing. So we're looking at trying to combine that.

9           There's a lot of information in that e-mail. I  
10 would like everyone to have an opportunity to take a  
11 look at that; and if we have time at the end of the  
12 meeting, I would like to discuss that.

13           We are not going to do a public meeting in lieu  
14 of the September RAB meeting, as was suggested at the  
15 last meeting, but we do want to have the opportunity to  
16 try and set something up.

17           And what that e-mail points out is a potential  
18 Saturday all-day training and poster-display session on  
19 the 27th of October. So if we're going to do that, we  
20 need to start thinking about getting that organized and  
21 getting a group together, maybe similar to how we did  
22 the RAB-CAC-PAC meeting last summer. So take a look at  
23 that, please; and if we have time, let's discuss a  
24 little bit at the end.

25           MR. CAMPBELL: We got Marie Harrison and Ray

1 Tompkins.

2 MS. HARRISON: I'm glad you talked about that  
3 so I -- and I'm very willing to allow that to go toward  
4 the end if there's time for discussion and/or place it  
5 on the agenda for our next meeting.

6 As you know, I've been gone for a while. I had  
7 to take some time off to do some personal stuff.

8 One of the e-mails I got from you talked about  
9 an incident that occurred in -- it wasn't very clear to  
10 me if you guys knew anything about it and what it wa- --  
11 what exactly that incident was.

12 I mean, you sent an e-mail to me, and I got the  
13 e-mail, and it was -- it discussed an incident, and you  
14 were letting us -- you -- I'm assuming you were  
15 attempting to let me know or letting everybody know that  
16 it wasn't a major incident, but you were reporting it,  
17 at any rate.

18 I don't know what that incident was, and since  
19 I've been back, no one has mentioned it to me; and I  
20 just really would like if there's some time if you would  
21 elaborate on that because I need to be brought up to  
22 speed on that incident.

23 MR. MACH: I don't know which one you're  
24 talking about, but I can talk to you at a break; and if  
25 you give me some more details, I can probably tell you

1 which one it is.

2 MR. CAMPBELL: Ray Tompkins?

3 MR. TOMPKINS: In the last meeting that we had  
4 held, RAB board, two members of this board gave a  
5 five-minute discussion here, one dealing with the topic  
6 of leadership, and the other admonishing members of this  
7 board, particularly African-Americans, on their  
8 behavior.

9 And that I found to be extremely insulted, one,  
10 to be an African-American to sit up here and have  
11 somebody, two white females, giving me a lecture on  
12 leadership, and the other to question scholarship and  
13 integrity when we find faults in the presentation of  
14 scientific evidence being presented to us. And that,  
15 one, I'm tired of it, and I'm going to call you on it.

16 I felt, one, that one of the individuals was  
17 somewhat campaigning ahead of time in terms of about her  
18 ability to control and run this. I don't think it was  
19 constructive.

20 I have sat here -- I've never in terms of two  
21 people sit up there and questioned those present- --  
22 presenters, who were given false information or  
23 distorted information or slanted, ever questioned them  
24 about the accuracy.

25 But when we ask questions, all of a sudden

1 you're all on our case and that at the end after  
2 Dr. Ahimsa responded, if I present a paper and put a  
3 position forward and then someone expresses their  
4 opinion on it and shows points of errors, whatever, at  
5 least I would acknowledge them, give credit to them, or  
6 disagree with them. I love my mother, but I disagree  
7 with her at times.

8           But I don't think that type of respect was  
9 granted. I don't hear any admonishment on Mr. Mach when  
10 he insults others or slights or gives slants to us.  
11 It's a two-way street. Respect is a two-way street and  
12 that that should be the order of this board.

13           I'm also saddened to the fact when board  
14 members would not sit up when residents were asking  
15 definitive questions on radiology and exposure when it's  
16 a historical fact.

17           And I'm a Navy brat. My father put 20 years in  
18 the Navy. I lived on Navy facilities. I know what  
19 discrimination is and patterns that were at the bases  
20 and how my father was held back from moving up, although  
21 he got as a chief -- Master Chief -- chief petty  
22 officer. I lived on the base where they had black  
23 sections right up next to jets.

24           And if you look at the patterns in the housing  
25 that took place out on Hunters Point and start looking

1 at historical data, you'd find that those residents all  
2 that lived on old Navy Road had cancer. I do not  
3 believe it is a statistical coincidence that had  
4 occurred.

5           But that is our obligation when their fathers  
6 and mothers died out there prematurely, and we still  
7 have premature deaths, as far as I'm concerned, for  
8 African males out here on Bayview-Hunters Point when the  
9 average life expectancy is only 56 years. For the  
10 national average, it's 70.

11           I think they have every right to be heard, and  
12 I don't think we turn into Cinderellas and our cars turn  
13 into pumpkins and rats that we can't extend the  
14 courtesy, even though people may be emotional and they  
15 are concerned about their health and the next  
16 generation, that we have an obligation that's going to  
17 deal with leadership to extend the time and let people  
18 express it. Rather than deal with the emotion, listen  
19 more accurately to content of what they have to say.

20           MR. CAMPBELL: Excuse me.

21           MR. TOMPKINS: And our objective is to go  
22 through that.

23           MR. CAMPBELL: Excuse me. Karen?

24           MR. TOMPKINS: I apologize.

25           MS. PIERCE: This is correction. The

1 Community -- the Community Notification Plan is an ad  
2 hoc committee. It's not a subcommittee, because there  
3 is no overall committee. We were an ad hoc community  
4 committee.

5 MR. MACH: Right.

6 MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. Are there any more  
7 announcements? We are running pretty close on time. We  
8 have --

9 MS. PETERSON: One more.

10 MR. CAMPBELL: Excuse me?

11 MS. PETERSON: One more. Mr. Dacus's uncle  
12 passed last night, so that's the reason he would not be  
13 here, and he said to --

14 MS. WASHINGTON: Charles?

15 MR. TOMPKINS: Oh, Charles?

16 MR. TISDELL: Too bad.

17 MS. HARRISON: No, not him.

18 MS. PETERSON: No. His uncle.

19 MR. TOMPKINS: His uncle.

20 MS. PETERSON: So he said to make his excuses  
21 for that reason.

22 MR. TOMPKINS: Thank you. I'll give him a  
23 call.

24 MR. CAMPBELL: Keith?

25 MR. TISDELL: Yes. I like to put a motion on

1 the floor that the meeting be extended past 8 o'clock  
2 because here it is almost 7:00 now, and we're just now  
3 getting off into the agenda.

4 MR. TOMPKINS: I'll second it.

5 MS. PETERSON: There has been some difficulties  
6 with these meetings running over, and I got that from  
7 the scheduling clerk.

8 MR. CAMPBELL: You got that from the --

9 MS. PETERSON: -- scheduling clerk.

10 MR. CAMPBELL: Okay.

11 MR. TOMPKINS: Who's that?

12 MS. PETERSON: So we are scheduled for a  
13 certain time because we're running into difficulties  
14 with scheduling meetings because people are wanting to  
15 come in, and they can't, you know. If you book it for a  
16 certain time, then you're expected to be out, and I was  
17 told that.

18 MR. TOMPKINS: I'm sorry. You mean the  
19 schedule clerk for this facility?

20 MS. PETERSON: Scheduling clerk for this  
21 facility.

22 MR. TOMPKINS: Okay. I didn't know what you  
23 meant when you said, "scheduling clerk."

24 MR. CAMPBELL: Jesse Mason?

25 MR. MASON: Let's make a motion and move it.

1 MS. PETERSON: And I just said, we ran into --  
2 we're running into that difficulty with the scheduling  
3 clerk.

4 MS. BUSHNELL: The motion's on the board here.

5 DR. SUMCHAI: This is the moot concern. We  
6 have already decided that this room does not facilitate  
7 the occupancy of the number of meeting attendees. It's  
8 not appropriately accessible to the handicap, which is a  
9 requirement for a RAB committee.

10 We have already decided that we need to move  
11 the meeting from this site. So that is not a  
12 consideration that we need to take into the long-term.

13 MS. BUSHNELL: Point of order. Are we dealing  
14 with announcements or personal complaints about people?

15 MR. CAMPBELL: Well --

16 MS. PETERSON: We've gone --

17 DR. SUMCHAI: There's a motion --

18 MR. CAMPBELL: There's a motion --

19 DR. SUMCHAI: -- to extend --

20 MS. BUSHNELL: There are two motions.

21 DR. SUMCHAI: -- the meeting.

22 MS. BUSHNELL: I wonder which motion we're  
23 discussing.

24 MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. There is a motion to  
25 extend the meeting. I understood that.



1 MS. PETERSON: And I addressed that from the  
2 scheduling clerk.

3 MR. RATCLIFF: We had our say.

4 MR. CAMPBELL: Marie Harrison?

5 MS. HARRISON: Call for clarification. Hearing  
6 what Dorothy has said, maybe before we --

7 Hold on [addressing Mr. Tisdell].

8 Maybe before --

9 I need you to hear this.

10 Maybe before we even vote on it, we need to  
11 find out if it is possible from the folks outside if  
12 there's someone else scheduled for this room at  
13 8 o'clock when we leave, okay, before --

14 And so can I --?

15 Keith, with your permission, can we move  
16 that -- to accept that -- your motion to decide?

17 MR. TISDELL: I'm just -- I'm just going to  
18 find out.

19 MS. PETERSON: 5:30 to 8:30, and when I booked  
20 it --

21 MR. CAMPBELL: So 8:30, then?

22 MS. PETERSON: Yeah. It's set from 5:30 to  
23 8:30, and she said, you know, you really need to adhere  
24 to those times, because you have a homeowners  
25 association that used to come in at 8 o'clock right

1 after we left. And this is a community room.

2 MS. HARRISON: Okay. Can -- can we -- can we

3 just set it aside until --?

4 There's no sense even voting on it if we can't

5 have the room. Am I correct?

6 MR. ATTENDEE: Right.

7 DR. SUMCHAI: Well, we know --

8 MR. PAUL: We have at least till 8:30.

9 DR. SUMCHAI: Till 8:30 we can extend the

10 meeting --

11 MR. TISDELL: I hate to bust everybody's

12 bubble, but we have the room till 10 o'clock at night.

13 MS. PETERSON: That's not what she told me.

14 MS. MORET: (Laughing.)

15 MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. Is there any other

16 discussion on this point?

17 Excuse me. Hold it. Sh, hold it.

18 Is there any more discussion on this point?

19 Okay. So let's call for a vote. All in favor?

20 THE BOARD: Aye.

21 MR. CAMPBELL: Opposed?

22 MS. ATTENDEE: You have no second on it.

23 MR. CAMPBELL: I'm sorry.

24 MR. MASON: I second it. I second it.

25 MS. ATTENDEE: Oh.

1 MR. MASON: I second it.

2 MR. TOMPKINS: Mr. Mason seconded it.

3 MR. CAMPBELL: Anybody opposed?

4 MS. ATTENDEE: No.

5 MR. TOMPKINS: Any abstentions?

6 MR. CAMPBELL: Abstentions?

7 (No verbal response elicited.)

8 MR. TOMPKINS: Okay. Motion carries.

9 MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. Are there any other  
10 announcements while we're at this point?

11 Yes? Please. Please give your name and  
12 associations.

13 MR. ROSS: My name is Leonard Ross. I am a  
14 sole -- As I mentioned earlier, I'm a sole community  
15 activist. I like to speak to the issue of my experience  
16 with -- with the Navy and the community outreach  
17 programs, such as Young Community Developers.

18 1994 I took the HAZMAT for the remediation work  
19 up at the Hunters Point Naval Shipyard. I was born here  
20 in San Francisco. I resided up there in the Hunters  
21 Point on Jerrold street. I'm in perfect health.

22 But yet, my opportunities for the jobs have  
23 been to no avail. When I went and completed this course  
24 study for 40 hours, I was given a run-around to find  
25 some autonomous ghost office somewhere that was

1 nonexistent.

2           When I went to the Young Community --

3           MR. CAMPBELL: Can I ask you to move that to

4 7:30-7:45 when we have the open-forum discussions?

5 Okay.

6           MS. HARRISON: I'm so- -- We're moving him

7 to --

8           MR. TOMPKINS: -- to 7:45.

9           MS. FOX: 7:30.

10          MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. All right. Are there any

11 other announcements?

12          MR. TOMPKINS: Move the agenda, please.

13          MR. CAMPBELL: Do you have announcement?

14          MS. MORET: Shall I read the letter?

15          MR. CAMPBELL: Why don't you state the nature

16 of your announcement.

17          MS. MORET: Okay.

18          MR. CAMPBELL: And identify yourself.

19          MS. MORET: My name is Leuren Moret. I'm

20 president of Scientists for Indigenous People.

21          I have a letter from Dr. Ernest Sternglass to

22 read concerning Question 14.

23          MR. MASON: What meeting? What meeting?

24          MS. MORET: Concerning Question 14, which was

25 answered incorrectly or not answered at all at the last

1 RAB meeting. So I have a letter from him I'd like to  
2 read into --

3 MR. MACH: That's going to be the first thing  
4 that RASO discusses because they did not get to it last  
5 month.

6 MS. MORET: They did answer it.

7 MR. MACH: No, they didn't. They got up to  
8 Question 13 last month.

9 MR. CAMPBELL: Okay.

10 MS. MORET: It's the written answer.

11 MR. CAMPBELL: Let's have rules of order,  
12 please. Excuse me.

13 One of -- one of the things that since it's  
14 going to be concerning RASO, why don't we bring it in  
15 when the discussion's going on?

16 MS. MORET: Okay.

17 MR. CAMPBELL: Thank you.

18 Well, let's move on to the next -- Is there  
19 anything else or that?

20 Caroline?

21 MS. WASHINGTON: Yes. I have some concern that  
22 I would like to read. This is a letter that I wrote to  
23 Mr. Richard Mach:

24 I have several items that I have --

25 that I have discussed with RAB and community

1 members on that I would like to introduce and  
2 have motions on.

3 Have the applications for RAB  
4 membership read and new members seated like our  
5 last monthly RAB meeting in July 26th, 2001,  
6 RAB meeting.

7 In the July 26th, 2001, RAB meeting  
8 you facilitated, you incorrectly allowed four  
9 people from one organization to be seated in  
10 violation of the RAB By-Laws and our Hunters  
11 Point Naval Shipyard Restoration Advisory Board  
12 under Section 12, "Membership Selection  
13 Criteria." To my understanding, it is supposed  
14 to be one member per organization. We want our  
15 RAB rules enforced.

16 Several members of our RAB and the  
17 community have requested a hand vote for RAB  
18 co-chairs as an open and public meeting.

19 Many RAB members and the community  
20 have expressed they wish to have two community  
21 co-chairs, not one. We, the RAB, never voted  
22 on having one co-chair. As you may recall, I  
23 was recently voted in by RAB members to the  
24 position of co-chair.

25 We would like to have Janice Gale to

1       have five to ten minutes to address our  
2       exper- -- her experiences and observations  
3       while employed at the HPNSY NRDL.

4               I would like to have the RAB members'  
5       document on ADI Technology entered into the  
6       record.

7               MR. CAMPBELL:  You had several -- several items  
8       that you wanted motions on?  Am I correct on this?

9               MS. WASHINGTON:  Yes.

10              MR. CAMPBELL:  Okay.  The -- I'm sorry.

11              MS. PETERSON:  Caroline is a part of the  
12       Membership & Bylaws Committee, and seven people decided  
13       that there are -- there is every member on this RAB  
14       board that is a member in common of other groups.  So we  
15       were leaving it to people to first of all define what  
16       position they wish to have on the RAB board.  We cannot  
17       do it for them.  I'm -- We can go around the room, and  
18       we are members in common of several boards.

19              So if you apply that rule to one group, you're  
20       going to have to apply it to everybody, and there will  
21       be no RAB members.  Plain and simple.  So we are  
22       allowing people to define whatever position they want to  
23       have.

24              In other words, if it is Bayview Advocates, if  
25       that -- if there can only be one representative of

1 Bayview Advocates, although there may be several members  
2 in common on this RAB board, we are not saying  
3 "Everybody that's a member of Bayview Advocates, get off  
4 the Board," no. One representation. One member.

5 MR. CAMPBELL: Caroline?

6 MS. WASHINGTON: I think you have me a little  
7 bit confused there.

8 The rule says that no two people of one  
9 organization can be the member of the RAB board. They  
10 can have a co-ch- -- they can have a chair -- a person  
11 as an alternate, but they cannot have two people from  
12 one board, one organization.

13 I belong to several organizations, but I am  
14 representing the Southeast College Advisory Board. I  
15 don't say that I am a member of all of these other  
16 organizations.

17 MS. PETERSON: That's what we said.

18 MS. WASHINGTON: That's what I'm here.

19 MS. FOX: Right.

20 MS. WASHINGTON: Anybody else on the Board has  
21 that same privilege. They can only be from one board, I  
22 mean one organization.

23 MS. HARRISON: I --

24 MS. WASHINGTON: Only one person from one  
25 organization can be on the Board and represent that



1 organization.

2 MS. FOX: Right.

3 MR. CAMPBELL: Marie Harrison?

4 MS. WASHINGTON: Anybody else there has to be a  
5 person that's --

6 MR. CAMPBELL: Marie Harrison?

7 MS. HARRISON: I'm sorry. She is not finished.

8 MS. WASHINGTON: Anyway, there has to be a  
9 person that's ad hocing for you when you're not here.

10 Now, we had a couple that was voted in last  
11 meeting as members. They both are from the same  
12 organization. That is not -- that is not -- They  
13 cannot vote as members being under the same  
14 organization.

15 MS. FOX: Right.

16 MS. WASHINGTON: Only one of them can vote.

17 MS. FOX: Right.

18 MS. HARRISON: I believe -- I believe that what  
19 Caroline --

20 To cut to the chase, if I understand you  
21 correctly is what you're getting at is that there's one  
22 vote per organization and per resident that is a member  
23 of this board. So if there is an organization that has  
24 more than one member sitting at this -- that was voted  
25 in, the improper part of that was that that allows two

1 people to have -- from one organization to have two  
2 votes.

3 That's what she -- if I'm understanding --

4 MS. WASHINGTON: That's right.

5 MS. HARRISON: -- what you said earlier.

6 MS. WASHINGTON: Mm-hmm.

7 MS. HARRISON: Then that -- I think that we  
8 need to move on that and either accept that or not  
9 accept it.

10 MS. PETERSON: The committee already moved on  
11 that, and at this --

12 MR. CAMPBELL: Point of order. Point of order.

13 MS. PETERSON: The committee has already moved  
14 on that and said, at this meeting we will announce that  
15 no body can be represent- -- no board can be or  
16 organization can be represented by two people.

17 MS. FOX: Right.

18 MS. PETERSON: But we cannot define who you  
19 represent. You have to do that yourself.

20 So if you have several members of Community  
21 First Coalition sitting in the room, you don't say,  
22 "Well, you can't be in here, and you can't be in here,  
23 and you can't be in here." You tell those people,  
24 "Which one of you are going to represent Community First  
25 Coalition?" And they decide.

1 MR. CAMPBELL: Dr. Sumchai?

2 MS. PETERSON: Plain and simple.

3 DR. SUMCHAI: I -- I want to make the issue  
4 more pointed.

5 For one thing, when we review the bylaws, we're  
6 going to have to review it, you know, so that we are  
7 sure that we are in conduct in accordance with all  
8 applicable DOD and Environmental Protection Agency  
9 guidelines.

10 The issue that Miss Washington has very  
11 courageously raised that I -- I totally agree with is  
12 the fact that we have -- under the guidelines for the  
13 RAB, as specified in the bylaws, it specifically states  
14 that one business on the Shipyard can be represented.

15 And what we did at the last meeting was vote in  
16 three individuals who privately are part -- and  
17 presumably employees of a business on the Shipyard.

18 Miss -- Miss Wright, I don't even have an  
19 application for you, and I've gone back through, like,  
20 eight months of, you know, data.

21 So I'd like to have Miss Wright's application,  
22 'cause I did talk with you about the need to disclose  
23 business interests.

24 And Mr. Manuel, I think that everyone in the  
25 room should be aware that you have been paid by Mr. Mach

1 for services this year.

2 MR. MANUEL: Wait one minute. That's a lie.

3 MR. CAMPBELL: Wait, wait, wait. Point of  
4 order, please.

5 MR. MANUEL: That's a lie.

6 MS. HARRISON: Point of order. We can't have  
7 any arguments here. We gotta do it right.

8 MS. PETERSON: Deteriorating.

9 MR. CAMPBELL: Okay, yeah.

10 MS. PETERSON: Down, down we go.

11 MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. Let's have a point of  
12 order, please.

13 MS. HARRISON: All right. Excuse me, folks.  
14 Can we -- can we --? We have got a long, long, long  
15 agenda and --

16 MR. ATTENDEE: Go ahead, Marie.

17 MS. HARRISON: Absolutely, I really want to get  
18 this -- I want to get through this, and I want to get it  
19 done. Let's take care of the one person, one  
20 organization, one vote.

21 As far as Community First goes, that's a group  
22 of several different organizations. So it doesn't limit  
23 these folks from -- from being all part of one  
24 organization, but only one person from each one of those  
25 organizations can have a seat on this RAB; and I'm

1 hoping that that's the way I'm understanding it and  
2 that's the way everybody's understanding it.

3 MS. FOX: Yeah.

4 MS. HARRISON: So there's one vote per  
5 organization. It doesn't matter.

6 MS. PETERSON: That's what we said.

7 MS. HARRISON: Okay. So where's the argument  
8 at here?

9 I mean, I'm hearing a lot of -- I want to move  
10 this agenda.

11 MS. FOX: I think the ar- --

12 MR. CAMPBELL: Please.

13 MS. FOX: Sorry.

14 MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. Well, we have J. R.,  
15 please?

16 MR. MANUEL: First off, everyone that was voted  
17 in at that last meeting is a resident of this community,  
18 okay.

19 If you don't like the fact that they put down  
20 "JRM Associates," which the other two persons are not  
21 particularly employees, but they have assisted in the  
22 past of helping donate their time to help JRM Associates  
23 provide information regarding remediation and other  
24 things that has come before the -- the early warning  
25 committee.

1           And they are not employees of JRM. They are  
2 residents of this community. I believe that a resident  
3 has the right to be here.

4           If you don't like the designation, we can  
5 remove the designation. That's one point.

6           The next point is that that's an out-and-out  
7 lie. You should before you make spurious remarks about  
8 me or Mr. Mach to have something --

9                       (Attendee interrupts.)

10          MR. MANUEL: Well, wait a minute. It is  
11 personal.

12          Before you make a spurious remark about  
13 Mr. Mach or me, you should back it up with something.

14          I'm self-employed and have been so for  
15 35 years. I've never taken a dime from the US Navy yet.  
16 Now, if you have something to back that up, you should  
17 say that --

18          DR. SUMCHAI: I will.

19          MR. MANUEL: -- instead of insulting these  
20 people --

21          DR. SUMCHAI: Can I please --?

22          MS. HARRISON: Hold it. You getting a little  
23 personal here.

24          MR. MANUEL: That's right, it's personal.

25          DR. SUMCHAI: Mr. Mach, at the Community

1 Notification Plan, said that was -- wasn't he paying you  
2 to do some signs?

3 MR. MANUEL: Show me -- show me --

4 MR. CAMPBELL: Let's have a point of -- let's  
5 have a point of order.

6 Jill, you were next.

7 MR. RATCLIFF: Talk about conflicts of  
8 interest.

9 MR. CAMPBELL: Excuse me.

10 MR. TISDELL: Hey, hey, wait a minute. Wait,  
11 wait, wait. Everybody, sit back and be cool. That's  
12 who's running the meeting. Everybody need just shut up  
13 until you can get called on now.

14 MR. CAMPBELL: Thank you.

15 Jill, please.

16 MS. FOX: We did address this in the committee.  
17 I think actually we're all in agreement, and it's the  
18 one organization, one vote, is the way the bylaws were,  
19 are. There's no change on that.

20 We -- In our zeal to have more members, our --  
21 on the RAB, we ended up with a lot of people without  
22 organization affiliations, because we are -- this is  
23 supposed to be a representative body.

24 So the committee really -- and Caroline was  
25 there. We really wanted to get back to the bylaws and

1 make sure that everyone on the RAB board represents an  
2 aspect --

3 MR. BROWN: Right.

4 MS. FOX: -- of the community.

5 Part of that is also that we are missing a lot  
6 of aspects of the community on this RAB --

7 MR. BROWN: Right.

8 MS. FOX: -- including youths and religious  
9 organizations, Shipyard tenants, different organizations  
10 like that, because -- so we want to define who is on the  
11 RAB now and then expand to fill the missing areas of the  
12 community, the underrepresented areas. That was the  
13 whole point. So I think we're really on the same page  
14 here.

15 MR. BROWN: Right.

16 MR. CAMPBELL: Gentleman from the community has  
17 a comment.

18 MR. ROSS: Yes.

19 MR. CAMPBELL: It has to be to the point.

20 MR. ROSS: It's to the point.

21 I feel that -- that my experience with some of  
22 these community organizations have been self-serving and  
23 self-seeking.

24 As I said, my experience was: When I applied  
25 for job opportunities with Young Community Developers, I



1 was given a run-around. I ne- -- Nothing ever  
2 developed from it, okay.

3 Here I am. I'm qualif- -- more than qualified.

4 I have a HAZ- -- HAZMAT --

5 MR. CAMPBELL: We have a particular point that  
6 we were discussing on membership right now.

7 MR. ROSS: Membership.

8 MR. CAMPBELL: Right, membership, membership.

9 So can I ask you to bring that point back in that  
10 discussion that deals with that at that time?

11 MR. ROSS: Sure.

12 MR. CAMPBELL: Thank you.

13 Is there any other discussion on this?

14 Now, what I did hear -- excuse me. What I did  
15 hear from Mr. Manuel, that there are people that  
16 classification may not be correct of these people. Is  
17 that correct? Is that what I heard?

18 MR. MANUEL: Yeah. They -- they are not  
19 employees of JRM Associates. They are people who have  
20 volunteered their time to help this board. They are not  
21 employees. They are not getting a paycheck. They never  
22 got a paycheck. They are here to -- They -- they have  
23 prior to being on the Board volunteered to help the  
24 Board.

25 MR. CAMPBELL: Thank you.

1           Is there any other discussion on this?

2           MS. BUSHNELL: I would just like to point out

3 that that's all part of what the Bylaws Committee [sic],

4 and we put that off until 7:45 --

5           MR. CAMPBELL: Right.

6           MS. BUSHNELL: -- because I think we're all

7 anxious just to --

8           MR. CAMPBELL: Sure.

9           MS. HARRISON: I think we could take care of

10 that -- that --

11          MR. CAMPBELL: -- that point. Thank you.

12          Caroline?

13          MS. WASHINGTON: Anybody that belonged to an

14 organization like Mr. . . .

15          MS. HARRISON: Manuel.

16          MS. WASHINGTON: . . . Manuel said, if they

17 belong to his organization, when they joined here, they

18 can say they are community advocate or whatever they are

19 besides not just working for him, because they don't

20 want to jeopardize his position as a voter. So they put

21 up another organization. They could be a member of

22 their community, whatever -- whatever other organization

23 they belong to.

24          MR. CAMPBELL: Thanks. Well --

25          MS. HARRISON: We just have to deal with it

1 when it comes to that time.

2 MR. CAMPBELL: Much -- much of this has to be  
3 moved, as we'll be getting into it in the Membership  
4 Committee.

5 It looks like our schedule is about twenty  
6 minutes behind at this point. We were scheduled for a  
7 break at 7:00 to 7:10.

8 I should ask the group from RASO if you want to  
9 start your presentation now, or would you prefer to come  
10 back after break?

11 MS. L. LOWMAN: It's up to you.

12 MR. TOMPKINS: Okay. It's 6:57 right now.

13 MS. BROWNELL: Start it.

14 MR. CAMPBELL: Yes?

15 MS. WEIL: Yeah. I'm here with my companion,  
16 Janice Gale, and Janice has come from El Sobrante really  
17 rather courageously with a heart condition, because she  
18 really wanted to testify. I wonder if that might be  
19 moved up to now, because she will need to, you know, go  
20 home and rest as soon as possible.

21 MR. CAMPBELL: Sure, okay.

22 Is there any objections?

23 MS. HARRISON: No.

24 MR. TOMPKINS: No.

25 MS. HARRISON: Make a motion and . . .

1 MR. TOMPKINS: I make a motion to move --  
2 MR. CAMPBELL: Do we have a second?  
3 MR. TOMPKINS: -- so we have testimony.  
4 MR. CAMPBELL: Discussion?  
5 MS. HARRISON: I second.  
6 MR. CAMPBELL: Call for the question.  
7 MR. TOMPKINS: I can't call for the question.  
8 I made the motion. Call for question.  
9 MS. HARRISON: I call for a question.  
10 MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. Vote?  
11 MR. TOMPKINS: All in favor . . .  
12 MS. HARRISON: All in favor --  
13 MR. CAMPBELL: All in favor?  
14 MS. HARRISON: -- that she be moved up, say,  
15 "Aye."  
16 THE BOARD: Aye.  
17 MR. CAMPBELL: Unopposed?  
18 MS. HARRISON: Opposed?  
19 MR. TOMPKINS: Abstentions?  
20 MS. HARRISON: Any abstentions?  
21 MR. TOMPKINS: One abstention for the record.  
22 MR. CAMPBELL: Miss Gale, I think you have the  
23 floor.  
24 MS. GALE: Yes.  
25 MR. TISDELL: Would you like to sit here where

1 you can --?

2 MS. GALE: That's okay. Thank you.

3 MR. TISDELL: You can sit where --

4 MS. GALE: I -- It just hurts me to move.

5 MR. TISDELL: Okay.

6 MS. GALE: I thank you for letting me come. I  
7 worked at the San Franci- -- the Hunters Point Naval  
8 Radiological Defense Laboratory when I was -- just  
9 turned 22 in 1948. I was an assistant librarian, just  
10 the person who -- when new books and journals came in, I  
11 had to sign and keep a little file and all that kind of  
12 stuff.

13 And I did have a Q-clearance, and I knew that  
14 there were secret things. I had absolutely zero -- I  
15 was never told of the nature or the toxicity or the --  
16 the nature of this work. I would never have taken the  
17 job, desperate as I was for money, had I known what was  
18 going on there.

19 At any rate, I -- I wanted to say that I've had  
20 three tumors of the mixed tumors of the carotid, which  
21 is right here [indicating]. They are life threatening,  
22 and I've had three surgeries and hope I don't have to  
23 have another one.

24 There's no way to definitively say that the  
25 tumors came as a result of my employment there, but

1 there is in the literature -- and I'm not a scientist.  
2 I'm a poet -- some stuff about radiation being  
3 responsible for this type of tumor. I also resided for  
4 a month at my aunt and uncle's place up on the hill  
5 while I was working there.

6           And I want to tell you there was no health and  
7 safety when I got there in April of 1948. Nothing. No  
8 badges, none of that stuff. I didn't even --

9           And the -- the men in the back, the  
10 physicists -- they were all men -- would say something  
11 about having a spill, and then they'd bring me the books  
12 and magazines that they had been consulting.

13           And there were some big doors -- I've been  
14 thinking about this just the last few days -- with sort  
15 of that kind of dull glass that you can't see through,  
16 but it lets in sunlight. We were never allowed to go  
17 there. In fact, I was told -- I mean, I was 22 years  
18 old. I was told "Don't wander around. You stay at your  
19 desk in the library," which I did.

20           At any rate, to get back to the health and  
21 safety, we had none. I got there probably around the  
22 1st of April, and I left in October 'cause I discovered  
23 I was pregnant.

24           And in September all of a sudden we had a badge  
25 and a Geiger counter so that when we left in the

1 afternoon, we would pass the Geiger counter. But prior  
2 to that time, there was nothing, at least not in that  
3 building. I don't know what there was elsewhere. And I  
4 think that's a scandal and unfortunate.

5           And I'm very pleased that you're allowing me  
6 this time to tell you my little story, because it was  
7 53 years ago, and I have suffered some of the effects of  
8 that. Thank you.

9           MR. CAMPBELL: Thank you, Miss Gale.

10          Okay. Are there any questions for Miss Gale?

11          Yes?

12          MS. L. LOWMAN: I have one question.

13          Could you tell me what building you worked in?

14          MS. GALE: I don't remember. It was the --  
15 There was a -- a library and a lab, and the library was  
16 the first section. Inez P. O'Brien was the section  
17 chief.

18          MS. L. LOWMAN: Okay.

19          MS. GALE: And there was a vault big enough --  
20 I mean, a walk-in vault for top-secret documents, and I  
21 remember the vault because I gave my boss a haircut in  
22 there so that nobody would see us.

23          MR. CAMPBELL: Don Paul has a question.

24          MR. PAUL: Have you had any interaction with  
25 the Navy about your ailments, and does the Navy have any

1 policy of reaching out to former Shipyard employees  
2 about carcinogens?

3 MS. GALE: I wrote a letter I guess about 10 or  
4 12, 10 years ago; and I got a letter back that was just  
5 100 percent dismissive.

6 MR. RATCLIFF: Navy.

7 MR. CAMPBELL: Ray?

8 MR. TOMPKINS: I want to thank you for coming  
9 and giving your -- your testimony. Anecdotal evidence  
10 is very important so -- where we truly get a true  
11 picture.

12 In your other co-workers, did you know that  
13 they come up ill or sick or have high rates of cancer  
14 with their experience out there?

15 MS. GALE: I don't know because I lost touch  
16 with them once I left my job. I know Inez O'Brien  
17 stayed, but -- I actually looked her up in the phone  
18 directory, and of course she's probably dead now.

19 And I do remember some of the other scientists,  
20 and I had some brief relationship with a mathematical  
21 physicist and his wife, and he was already ill. But the  
22 nature of that, the ETOI, where it came from, I don't  
23 know.

24 MR. TOMPKINS: Was your pregnancy normal?

25 MS. GALE: Yes.



1 MR. TOMPKINS: Good.

2 MS. GALE: He is 52 and he's fine.

3 MR. TOMPKINS: Thank you.

4 MR. CAMPBELL: Thank you.

5 I'm sorry.

6 MS. HASEGAWA: Did she say ultimately how long  
7 she worked there and if she returned after her  
8 pregnancy?

9 MS. GALE: No, I did not return.

10 MS. HASEGAWA: How long did you work?

11 MS. GALE: I worked there from April 1st, I  
12 would say, until about October 20th --

13 MR. TOMPKINS: April 1st.

14 MS. GALE: -- of 1948.

15 MS. HASEGAWA: Of 1948.

16 MR. TOMPKINS: Thank you.

17 MR. CAMPBELL: Thank you, Miss Gale.

18 Why don't we take a ten-minute break at this  
19 point, return at 7:15. We have got a lot of ground to  
20 make up.

21 (Recess 7:04 p.m. to 7:15 p.m.)

22 MR. CAMPBELL: I'd like to call to order,  
23 please. Let's have a point of order, please.

24 Is there anybody here -- announcement first --  
25 that has not signed in --

1 MS. ATTENDEE: Okay.

2 MR. CAMPBELL: -- please sign in. The sign-in  
3 sheets are over here [indicating].

4 Okay. The Lowmans were going to make the  
5 presentation from RASO and answering some of the  
6 questions. We'd like to continue. We'd like all of the  
7 questions that are directed to RASO to be very specific  
8 because we are going to be running into time  
9 constraints. So please be mindful and respectful.  
10 Thank you.

11 MS. L. LOWMAN: Good evening. It's nice to see  
12 everybody again.

13 I'd like to start off where we left off, which  
14 is basically Question No. 14, the question that was  
15 brought to us. And -- and we'll take -- if you have any  
16 questions on any of the information that was provided at  
17 the last meeting, we'll take those at the end so we can  
18 try to get -- go ahead and get on with the questions at  
19 the end, the ones we didn't get to.

20 The question was: "Does Radium attach to dust?  
21 Are there other radio-nuclei that attach to dust?" Now,  
22 this is a -- a very tricky question. There are -- and  
23 we agonized over this one.

24 The scientific answer that we provided was:  
25 The specifics of radionuclide attachment to

1 dust or suspension in dust are dependent upon  
2 many factors. Typically, molecules containing  
3 radium are very heavy (heavier than lead  
4 molecules). Naturally occurring radium  
5 molecules do not attach to dust but can be  
6 suspended in air if the soil is sufficiently  
7 disturbed. However, these molecules will  
8 settle out very quickly because of their  
9 weight.

10 And then we did the nice Navy statement:

11 "Every effort is taken to control dust generated from  
12 radiological remediations at the former Hunters Point  
13 Naval Shipyard. In addition air monitoring is conducted  
14 at all radiological remediation sites.".

15 Now, there is a point of clarification we would  
16 like to add. When we're talking the radium at Hunters  
17 Point Naval Shipyard, we're talking about radium that is  
18 in paint, and the paint is attached to a device, and the  
19 devices were inadvertently disposed of in the landfill.  
20 This is not loose radium contamination in soil. This is  
21 radium that is in paint.

22 So when you're talking dust and you're talking  
23 the type of radium that is at the Shipyard in the  
24 landfills, it does not raise itself because it is  
25 attached to the paint.

1           Now, if there are flakes of paint in the soil,  
2 then, of course, that's a different situation. But it  
3 is always in the paint.

4           As far as the other radio-nuclei that we're  
5 looking at at the Shipyard, cesium, strontium, all the  
6 other ones that we're looking at, if they are loose in  
7 the soil, yes, they could be risen in the dust.

8           But again, we take every measure we can, and we  
9 have almost nothing directly on the surface. Everything  
10 is buried underneath the surface, and that is why we are  
11 remediating and taking the measures that we take to  
12 ensure that contamination doesn't spread.

13          Are there any questions?

14          MR. TOMPKINS: Yes.

15          MS. L. LOWMAN: Yes?

16          MR. CAMPBELL: Ray Tompkins?

17          MR. TOMPKINS: Okay. For example, during the  
18 fire and that it was alleged -- and something I haven't  
19 reviewed at all -- that some of the areas of the fire  
20 burned over were previously from other test- --  
21 testimony, anecdotal evidence, that it was contaminated  
22 and that not all areas that are currently on the map  
23 have been designated as such.

24          For example, in the fire if there was  
25 radioactivity and it was somewhat stable, would the fire

1 not increase the instability and then make the  
2 radioactive material volatile to be in the air? Is that  
3 a possibility?

4 MS. L. LOWMAN: The area that --

5 MR. TOMPKINS: As a catalyst of the calvin --  
6 calories being added, would it then make it volatile and  
7 unstable and move in there?

8 MR. R. LOWMAN: Yes, sir, it is a possibility.  
9 Any radio-nuclei, depending on what its composition is  
10 and how it's formed, whether it's loose or whether it's  
11 attached to something, any radio-nuclei is going to  
12 attach to dust particles or air particles. That's why  
13 always in any remediation you always practice dust  
14 control and you do air monitoring.

15 It was my understanding that the area of the  
16 fire did not reach to the area where the -- the gauges  
17 and dials from the ships had been deposited in that  
18 landfill there, that that area -- that it wa- -- it was  
19 in a different area. It had not reached that area.

20 We had -- we had kept updated on as to the fire  
21 as to where it was going.

22 MR. TOMPKINS: One small point and then I'm  
23 finished.

24 After there -- Was the area --? And I -- when  
25 I reviewed the literature, I didn't see, and maybe I did

1 not see all the literature from the testing on  
2 September, I think, 27th, 26th? I forget when the  
3 day -- It may have been a little earlier, I think. I  
4 just don't -- I don't have the documents. I plan to,  
5 but someone else is borrowing the literature. I didn't  
6 get it.

7           Was there any testing for radioactivity, any  
8 monitoring?

9           MR. R. LOWMAN: Air monitoring during the fire.

10          MR. TOMPKINS: For during the fire and then  
11 afterwards?

12          MR. R. LOWMAN: It's my understanding --

13          MR. MACH: There was -- there -- there was --  
14 there was two times for the landfill fire that -- that  
15 monitoring was done for radiation.

16          The first was during the day of the initial  
17 fire, on the 16th of August, and that was when someone  
18 was walking around showing a map of the landfill area,  
19 and the San Francisco Fire Department had a concern.  
20 They're, like, Well, we're fighting this fire. So they  
21 called in the Department of Public Health.

22          Department of Public Health came in. They did  
23 sca- -- air scans and scanned the bunker coats and the  
24 equipment of the firefighters. They did not detect any  
25 radiation. They allowed the San Francisco Fire

1 Department to continue to respond. So that was the  
2 first time that radiation was looked for during the  
3 actual fire.

4           Then when we started doing the continuous air  
5 monitoring for the ongoing smoldering that was going on,  
6 we made a proposal of the different contaminants that  
7 are normally found in the landfill, and we'll do the air  
8 monitoring for the normal things, like the volatile  
9 organic compounds, petroleum, metals, P- -- PCBs.

10           MR. TOMPKINS: I saw those.

11           MR. MACH: Right.

12           The- -- there was a request that came back from  
13 I don't remember which agency or which community group  
14 to add several other things. One of them was radiation,  
15 phosgene gas, chlorine gas, several others, which we  
16 did -- dioxins. We did all those, and those were not  
17 detected. So there was additional radiation monitoring  
18 that was done post the fire.

19           MR. TOMPKINS: In the sequential fires after  
20 where it's the six other fires afterwards, were there  
21 any monitoring or measurements taken since then?

22           MR. MACH: There was --

23           MR. TOMPKINS: I understand there was six other  
24 fires since September, August.

25           MR. MACH: There was no monitoring done for any

1 constituents for any of the subsequent grass fires at  
2 the time.

3 MR. TOMPKINS: Thank you.

4 MS. L. LOWMAN: I think as a point of  
5 clarification that you -- that you might be interested  
6 in, the area where the fire was, I believe, we've only  
7 found two anomal- --

8 MR. MACH: That's a different one. We're going  
9 to get to that in the next question segue.

10 MS. L. LOWMAN: Okay, okay, because I'm not  
11 totally sure where the fires all were.

12 MR. R. LOWMAN: Of interest to you might be the  
13 fact that we found out about the fire on the Internet  
14 ourselves.

15 DR. SUMCHAI: I would think that it's -- it's a  
16 very important topic; and I do appreciate, you know, the  
17 competence and thoroughness with which you all have  
18 attempted to address this matter.

19 I'm not going to make any long statement.  
20 Leuren Moret's here, and she can talk about her letter  
21 here from Dr. Stor- -- Sternglass, who is -- is quite an  
22 expert, who's going to, you know, talk about his opinion  
23 on radioactivity, especially as it relates to dust  
24 generated and ash gene- -- generated by burning regions.

25 You know, my concern is that we have had the



1 series of recent fires. In fact, I think that there has  
2 been continued fire activity at the Shipyard, and I want  
3 to just pass out an article that talks about prescribed  
4 burns in Department of Energy nuclear facilities and  
5 some of the findings that arose from a specific incident  
6 in April of 2000 at the Rocky Flats DOE nuclear facility  
7 in the Denver-Boulder, Colorado, metropolitan area.

8           And essentially what happened was that there  
9 was a prescribed burn of vegetation, which is a policy  
10 of the Department of Energy, and it activated a -- a rad  
11 alert, radiation monitor. It was automatically  
12 activated after the fire.

13           Residents started to complain that when they  
14 breathed the smoke, that they had a metallic taste in  
15 their mouth, and this has been a hallmark of exposure to  
16 plutonium or uranium.

17           And before the burn the background count area  
18 was 18 to 15 counts per minute. And during the burn the  
19 radiation that was detected went up to 20,000 counts per  
20 minute and remained elevated a year later.

21           Now, some of the esti- -- investigations from  
22 this incident led to the following conclusions:

23           One, burning contaminated vegetation releases  
24 smoke that can be inhaled, exposing lung and body tissue  
25 to damaging alpha radiation.

1           Two, ash is known to act as a concentrating  
2 mechanism for radioactive contaminants.

3           Three, concrete from buildings at nuclear  
4 facilities acts as a sponge for radiation, and the dust  
5 from demolition is spread by the wind. This is found  
6 from the sarcophagus of the Chernobyl Nuclear Power  
7 Plant.

8           And then the important finding: Tissue samples  
9 taken from a herd of cattle that grazed on contaminated  
10 fields near the Rocky Flats, the DOE nuclear facility,  
11 for only three months were found to contain higher  
12 levels of radioactivity than herds that had grazed  
13 year-round at another Nevada test site.

14          So, you know, this is a very, very real  
15 concern. And to the extent that we currently have fires  
16 that overlap known radiological operations sites of the  
17 NRDL, then we need to, you know, think about continuing  
18 our -- our efforts at radiation monitoring, protecting  
19 workers and community residents.

20          MR. CAMPBELL: J. R. Manuel?

21          MR. MANUEL: I think that lady was the next.

22          MR. CAMPBELL: Well, we -- we are going to the  
23 RAB member --

24          MR. MANUEL: Okay.

25          MR. CAMPBELL: -- first, and then we're going

1 to the community.

2 MR. MANUEL: Okay.

3 MR. CAMPBELL: Thank you.

4 MR. MANUEL: Someone suggested -- actually,  
5 Mr. Ansbrosius suggested -- I do kind of concur -- perhaps  
6 it would be more clear when you're pointing out where  
7 the dials are versus where the fires are, can you maybe  
8 point the map to show where the different locations are,  
9 maybe help people visualize where these things are?

10 MR. R. LOWMAN: Could -- could I also say  
11 something?

12 MR. MACH: This big green area is essentially  
13 the Parcel E landfill that we always discuss.

14 This area down here is the radium dial disposal  
15 area.

16 There's another question that's going to come  
17 up later, about four questions from now, about a fire  
18 that happened on July 6th, and that is down in this area  
19 over here, and there were a couple radiation sources  
20 down here which I will discuss when we get to that.

21 MR. MANUEL: So the fire that everyone is the  
22 most concerned about, where -- is that the green area?

23 MR. MACH: Well, I don't know who's the most  
24 concerned about what, but this is --

25 MR. MANUEL: Well --

1           MR. MACH: This is the landfill fire from  
2 August 16th of 2000.

3           MR. MANUEL: That's the one I've been --

4           MR. MACH: This -- this is the fire that there  
5 is a question about coming up from July 6th of 2001.

6           MR. ATTENDEE: The dials are over . . . ?

7           MR. MACH: Dials are way over here.

8           MR. ATTENDEE: On a sandy beach.

9           MR. R. LOWMAN: And also in response to  
10 Dr. Sumchai . . .

11          Dr. Sumchai, that statement about Rocky Flats,  
12 Rocky Flats is -- were in mill tailings and this sort of  
13 stuff. This material is laying around. It's not  
14 sealed. It's not encapsulated in anything. This is  
15 just a large area.

16          Yes, it's well known to everyone. I mean, we  
17 well know that -- that cattle roaming around an area  
18 like this.

19          We have a different situation here. We have a  
20 different constituent of the radiation, the  
21 radioactivity. It is in this particular case in dials  
22 and gauges -- and the radium is in dials and gauges  
23 similar to the dials and gauges you pers- -- probably  
24 may have had in your own home.

25          If you had a Westcox -- Westclox or a Big Ben

1 that glowed in the dark -- probably doesn't anymore --  
2 that had radium dials on it just like these did. Many  
3 things out of the commercial industry and in the  
4 civilian population had these. You probably threw yours  
5 away. They are probably in a San Francisco landfill  
6 somewhere.

7 DR. SUMCHAI: Well, I do have to disagree with  
8 you. The radium is in the sandblast grit, and there are  
9 multiple areas --

10 MR. R. LOWMAN: Ma'am --

11 DR. SUMCHAI: -- of the sandblast --

12 MR. R. LOWMAN: -- that's naturally occurring  
13 sandblast grit.

14 DR. SUMCHAI: But that's -- doesn't make it  
15 okay if it's burned. I -- I appreciate your point.

16 MR. R. LOWMAN: It is slightly above back- --  
17 It is sl- -- That is slightly raised above background.  
18 You can go into many pet stores in the San Francisco  
19 area and get the same material to put in your aquarium.  
20 Exact same material.

21 MR. CAMPBELL: Keith Tisdell, Ray Tompkins,  
22 then Lynne Brown.

23 MR. TISDELL: Damn, I forgot just that quick.  
24 Senior moment.

25 MR. CAMPBELL: We'll come back.

1           MR. TISDELL: Yes, please.

2           MR. TOMPKINS: Hey, Keith, I have them senior  
3 moments too.

4           In response to the -- our -- our previous  
5 speaker before she had to leave, she was talking about  
6 spills that really wasn't recorded prior in the '48 from  
7 the time period that she was on, where in relationship,  
8 especially with the new number of fires we have had, and  
9 she said some weren't really documented, what about  
10 that?

11          MR. R. LOWMAN: What we've done is: We have --  
12 and this is one of the questions we asked her and my  
13 wife asked her was, "Ma'am, what building were you in?"  
14 We were trying to find all the buildings that NRDL ever  
15 operated in at the Shipyard.

16          We spent Tue- -- We flew out here Monday. We  
17 spent Tuesday at the national archives up there. It's  
18 unclassified material. We went through box after box  
19 after box trying to find out and identify buildings.

20          One of the things I did see was a history from  
21 the '47-'48 time frame up to '58, and they talked about  
22 their early days. They just had borrowed buildings from  
23 the Shipyard.

24          We do know that when the -- when many of these  
25 buildings were closed out, they were surveyed. Now, I

1 would have to tell you that they were surveyed back in  
2 the '60s and '70s. The standards in those times and the  
3 way they did surveys in those times and the data may not  
4 be there.

5           So any of the buildings that we don't have the  
6 survey data on, good, hard survey data, we can  
7 reproduce. We are going back to redo those buildings  
8 and surveys.

9           ATTENDEE: What's --?

10          MR. TOMPKINS: Therefore --

11          MS. L. LOWMAN: There's another --

12          MR. TOMPKINS: -- clarity.

13          MS. L. LOWMAN: -- point I'd like to --

14          MR. TOMPKINS: For point of clarity.

15 Therefore, the possibility, because of the lack of data  
16 that they have been contaminated and prove recordkeeping  
17 or lack of accuracy of records is what -- I want  
18 clarity. What do you mean exactly?

19          MR. R. LOWMAN: I'm sorry. Could you restate  
20 that, sir?

21          MR. TOMPKINS: In other words, what you're  
22 saying is: Because of the lack of information, the  
23 possibility exists, then, of contamination in these  
24 buildings?

25          MR. R. LOWMAN: There was contamination and it

1 was recorded in these buildings.

2 MR. TOMPKINS: And that they moved all over so  
3 some others that may not have?

4 MR. R. LOWMAN: At this point I -- at this  
5 point I cannot tell you exactly when their first  
6 radiation safety program was put into place. I can -- I  
7 can get that information to you, and I will get that  
8 information to you either through Mr. Mach or refer it  
9 directly to you if you like.

10 MR. TOMPKINS: Okay.

11 MR. R. LOWMAN: But they did have a radiation  
12 safety program that did exist.

13 Now, you have to realize in the time that this  
14 unfortunate lady or this wonderful lady, however you  
15 would like to look at it, that was working there, this  
16 was the very early days. People didn't know what this  
17 stuff did. They didn't -- This was that they were  
18 formed to find out what does this stuff do.

19 Now, I did read something that when they  
20 started, they had six radiacs. Only two of them worked.  
21 They probably had about half of the radiacs in the free  
22 world there, because there just weren't that many.  
23 People just didn't know what the effects were going to  
24 be.

25 The -- the -- the atomic bomb was not designed



1 to hurt anybody by radiation. That was not their  
2 effect. Their effect was the blast effect, the  
3 overpressure and the thermal. That was the primary  
4 thing that was going.

5 MR. CAMPBELL: Lynne Brown had a question.

6 MR. BROWN: Yes.

7 I'd like to ask you, if the yellow spot over  
8 there the radium dials, where is all the stuff that you  
9 say came from U.C.-Berkeley, Stanford University, and  
10 McClellan Air Force Base? Their radioactive waste,  
11 where did they put that in?

12 MS. ATTENDEE: Did they process it?

13 MR. MACH: They -- they didn't dispose of it  
14 here, but this little triangle right here over by  
15 Building 707 is where they processed it to ship it off  
16 site. And we are in the process of investigating that  
17 area.

18 MR. BROWN: Okay.

19 MS. L. LOWMAN: It is under investigation right  
20 now.

21 MR. CAMPBELL: We're going to have to start.  
22 Keith Tisdell and then community members.

23 MR. TISDELL: Okay. I don't know your name,  
24 but did you guys operate in Building 815?

25 MR. R. LOWMAN: Yes, sir.

1 MR. MACH: Yes.

2 MR. TISDELL: You did?

3 MR. R. LOWMAN: The NRDL oper- -- it was --

4 MR. TISDELL: O- --

5 MR. R. LOWMAN: -- built for that.

6 MR. TISDELL: Okay. What was you using so --

7 that was so hot that you had to have three water towers?

8 MR. R. LOWMAN: That was the air-conditioning

9 system.

10 MR. TISDELL: For only eight -- for only eight

11 stories, you got three water towers sitting up there?

12 MR. R. LOWMAN: I don't know --

13 MR. TISDELL: And -- and you have a aquifer

14 going right down the middle of it.

15 MR. MACH: There's no -- there's no windows in

16 that building.

17 MR. TISDELL: Okay. I know.

18 MR. MACH: So you need a lot more air

19 conditioning.

20 MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. Let's move on.

21 Would you identify yourself, please.

22 MS. MORET: My name is Leuren Moret. I'm a

23 scientist, independent scientist. I'm president of

24 Scientists for Indigenous People, and I'm the Bay Area

25 coordinator for the Tooth Fairy Project for the baby

1 tooth study.

2 I'd like to read something from "Richard  
3 Rhodes, in his 1986 Pulitzer Prizewinning The Making of  
4 the Atom Bomb --"

5 MR. CAMPBELL: Is this --?

6 MS. MORET: "-- suggested --"

7 MR. CAMPBELL: Is this long? Or is it --?

8 MS. MORET: No. It's real short.

9 MR. CAMPBELL: Thank you.

10 MS. MORET: -- suggested that they knew the  
11 bomb was really a biological weapon. He  
12 relates the reaction of Teller and Oppenheimer  
13 to Fermi's 1943 suggestion that the  
14 Strontium-90 he was generating in his pilot  
15 reactor at the University of Chicago could be  
16 dropped -- dropped on the German landmass if  
17 the bomb that they were working on did not  
18 work. Oppenheimer summarized this by writing:  
19 "I think we should not attempt the plan unless  
20 we can poison food sufficient to kill half a  
21 million men, since there is no doubt that the  
22 actual number affected will, because of  
23 nonuniformed distribution, be much smaller than  
24 this."

25 They knew from the very beginning --

1 MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. Thank you.

2 MS. MORET: -- about radiation.

3 And I'd like to read Dr. Sternglass's letter.

4 MR. CAMPBELL: Can you summarize

5 Dr. Sternglass's letter?

6 MR. R. LOWMAN: We don't disagree with Dr. --

7 We read it, and we agree with Dr. Sternglass's letter.

8 I mean, the rad- --

9 MS. MORET: Well, the audience doesn't know

10 what's in Dr. Sternglass's letter, and --

11 MR. CAMPBELL: Can you summarize Dr. --

12 MS. MORET: Yeah.

13 MR. CAMPBELL: -- Sternglass's letter?

14 MS. MORET: Basically what Dr. Sternglass says

15 is that dust, whether it's metall- -- metallic dust,

16 radioactive material that is in dust form and chemicals

17 together which are emitted from -- from diesel engines,

18 power-generating plants, any kind of industry stacks,

19 the synergistic effect of radiation and chemicals or

20 even fog or water vapor, anything that dust can attach

21 to mobilizes it.

22 And the radiation that was released at this

23 defense lab is in the environment still, and people

24 should be aware of that.

25 This [indicating] is a one-tenth-micron dust

1 particle in the lung tissue of an ape. This is  
2 someone's -- a body being used. That's two days' worth  
3 of disintegrations.

4 MR. CAMPBELL: Okay.

5 MS. MORET: Thank you.

6 MR. CAMPBELL: Thank you.

7 MS. L. LOWMAN: Ready to go to Question 15:

8 "Did the CIA receive a transfer of records from NRDL?"

9 To date there is no indication that  
10 the CIA -- and we assume that "CIA" to mean  
11 that when we answered this the Central  
12 Intelligence Agency -- was involved with NRDL  
13 or that they may have records from NRDL. For  
14 point of clarification, there was an area at  
15 the Hunters Point Naval Shipyard referred to as  
16 the "CIA" or Controlled Industrial Area that  
17 required authorization to enter.

18 But it was not part of the operations  
19 associated necessarily directly with NRDL.

20 Now, NRDL did do some work on the ships that  
21 were in the CIA, and I -- I -- and as point of  
22 clarification, I'm -- I'm not sure what the question  
23 meant. So it's very difficult for us to give a  
24 definitive answer.

25 MR. CAMPBELL: Don Paul? I'm sorry. Lynne

1 Brown first.

2 MR. BROWN: Okay. So what you're saying is:

3 The CIA didn't destroy the records in 1973 when they

4 went in front of the presidential committee? Because

5 I've seen that --

6 MS. L. LOWMAN: The CIA -- the "CIA" meaning

7 the Central Intelli- --

8 MR. BROWN: Central Intelligence Agency.

9 MS. L. LOWMAN: -- -telligence Agency?

10 MR. BROWN: Yes.

11 MS. L. LOWMAN: You're saying they destroyed

12 NRDL records?

13 MR. BROWN: Yes.

14 MS. L. LOWMAN: I have not found any evidence

15 of that, but I cannot -- I'm not saying they didn't.

16 But I have not seen any evidence, anything like that.

17 MR. CAMPBELL: Don Paul.

18 MR. PAUL: Where was the controlled industrial

19 area on the Shipyard?

20 MS. L. LOWMAN: The controlled industrial area

21 would have been down by the piers.

22 MR. MACH: And I know that I've seen -- there's

23 still a CIA sign on Building 281 over here. I'm not

24 sure exactly -- it says "Controlled Industrial

25 Facility," so -- or "Area." So --

1 MS. L. LOWMAN: It's usually where they are  
2 doing the actual work on the ships.

3 MR. MACH: That's the only where I -- only  
4 place I've seen an actual sign --

5 MR. PAUL: Is it normal for such an area to be  
6 controlled?

7 MR. R. LOWMAN: Yes. All naval shipyards have  
8 those, and they are generally in the area where you're  
9 going to get in the industrial area where you have foot  
10 hazards, fall hazards, you know.

11 To go into a CIA, you have to wear a hard hat,  
12 hard-toed shoes, this sort of thing. And --

13 MS. L. LOWMAN: And --

14 MR. R. LOWMAN: And generally, it was the heavy  
15 industry -- the industry part of the Shipyard. And all  
16 naval shipyards have those --

17 MS. L. LOWMAN: Yeah, all of them --

18 MR. R. LOWMAN: -- still.

19 MS. L. LOWMAN: -- have them today. They still  
20 have them. There are some areas in a CIA where subs  
21 will be tied up or something like that that are also a  
22 classified area, and you need to have a clearance to go  
23 in strictly because of the type of technical information  
24 you could obtain by being on the ship -- on the boats.

25 MR. CAMPBELL: Marie Harrison?

1 MS. HARRISON: I just -- You move so fast  
2 there, Richard. Were you pointing at Parcel C?

3 MR. MACH: Yes.

4 MS. HARRISON: That's where I worked.

5 MR. BROWN: Right.

6 MR. CAMPBELL: In the constraints of time, the  
7 public should be moving -- or questions have to be very  
8 specific and -- thank you.

9 MS. L. LOWMAN: Question 16: "What percentage  
10 of records covering NRDL activities at Hunters Point, or  
11 possible radiological hazardous waste locations were  
12 destroyed?"

13 We provided an answer:

14 When a Navy command closes there are  
15 specific regulations governing where command  
16 files are repositied. There are large  
17 inventories of NRDL records at several  
18 locations and the Navy is conducting an ongoing  
19 review of these archives. To date, there is no  
20 indication that records pertaining to NRDL  
21 activities were destroyed.

22 Now, I -- that answer is good, but we left out  
23 the word "intentionally" destroyed. It is Navy pol- --  
24 policy to take records after a certain amount of time  
25 and destroy them, such as administrative-type records;



1 and there will be a three- to seven-year time frame for  
2 fiscal records or financial records, depending on the  
3 types of records.

4           We have found boxes and boxes and boxes and  
5 boxes of records available both here locally at San  
6 Bruno, back at the national archives in D.C., BUSHIPS  
7 archives in Bethesda, Maryland; AFRRRI has archives;  
8 other Navy commands have archives having to do with  
9 NRDL, and we are researching those.

10           So as far as technical information and  
11 experiments that may have been done, most of that  
12 documentation is available. It's just a matter of  
13 getting to all of it and finding it, and that will be  
14 included in the HRA.

15           MR. CAMPBELL: Any questions?

16           Continue, please.

17           MS. RINES: I have a quick one.

18           MR. CAMPBELL: I'm sorry. Go ahead. Would you  
19 identify yourself.

20           MS. RINES: I'm sorry. Melita Rines. I'm a  
21 resident of Bayview-Hunters Point.

22           Do you know if there's a basic policy for  
23 destroying records, financial records, after seven  
24 years? Is there another policy that there -- they have  
25 for any other records --

1 MS. L. LOWMAN: There's --

2 MS. RINES: -- that are nonadministrative?

3 MS. L. LOWMAN: I had a question the other day  
4 from a gentleman who did some work at the Great Lakes  
5 Naval Base, and he asked that same question because he  
6 thought perhaps he had been exposed to some radiation  
7 there.

8 There is a requirement from the Nuclear  
9 Regulatory Commission currently -- and I can't say that  
10 the AEC or the -- prior to the AEC there was the same  
11 requirement -- that any records pertaining to the  
12 transfer or shipment of radioactive material be held for  
13 three years after receipt of that material, okay.

14 Now, that's only three years, but any radiation  
15 health monitoring records or, for instance, records of  
16 badging -- film badges or thermoluminescent dosimeters  
17 that measure radioactive doses that you might have  
18 received, those records are kept indefinitely. So we  
19 are, again, in the process of -- of looking through  
20 those. But that is current standard.

21 And of course, for instance, for Navy  
22 radiological licenses having to do with Nuclear  
23 Regulatory Commission, those records are kept  
24 indefinitely at our office and at the NRC.

25 MR. CAMPBELL: Yes.

1           MR. R. LOWMAN: There was a statement in the --  
2 on the -- the committee for human radiation experiments.  
3 There was a statement in there that some Bureau of  
4 Medicine and Surgery -- that's Navy -- and some NRDL and  
5 some Army surgeon general's office records could not be  
6 located.

7           But we think those were dea- -- We're not  
8 sure, but we think those were dealing primarily with the  
9 medical records of people that were involved in the  
10 tests, but we are not sure, because obviously they are  
11 not there.

12           But -- but yes, there were some records  
13 missing, and it's -- it's in the report to the  
14 President.

15           MS. L. LOWMAN: We're still -- we're still  
16 looking.

17           Number 17: "What was New World Technology  
18 referring to in the last meeting," which I assume was  
19 the May meeting, "'out of their scope of work'"? And  
20 they use that term.

21           Answer:

22           When work is assigned to a contractor  
23 it is defined in a "scope of work" or an SOW.  
24 The term "out of their scope of work" as used  
25 by New World Technology referred to areas they

1       were not specifically contracted to perform.

2               We'll issue a scope of work depending on how  
3 much money we have for said surveys, said remediation,  
4 whatever it is.

5               When they talk of something that is "out of  
6 their scope of work," they are talking about something  
7 that they are not currently on contract to perform.  
8 That is not to say that they won't perform it. That is  
9 to say, currently for the money available at this time,  
10 this is what they are contracted for.

11              MR. CAMPBELL: Are there --

12              MS. L. LOWMAN: Any questions?

13              MR. CAMPBELL: -- any questions?

14              Marie Harrison?

15              MS. HARRISON: Yeah. "Out of their scope of  
16 work . . . ." I understand that to mean that if  
17 there -- if there -- said they are -- they're brought on  
18 board to remediate, oh, groundwater and they found that  
19 there's a radiation cache in there, they will bypass  
20 that, remove the groundwater, leave the radiation cache  
21 there?

22              MS. L. LOWMAN: No, no. If they come across  
23 something; for instance, if they are doing a remediation  
24 of a certain area outside a building and they come up  
25 with something, there are two things that they will do:

1 First thing they will do is notify Mr. Horton over  
2 there, who runs the contracts for the Navy; and the  
3 second thing they will do is notify our office.

4           We can modify a scope of work. We can go in  
5 and say, "Okay, we found this, and now we want to do  
6 that." Or we can stop that scope of work. We'll look  
7 for additional money, say "Stop the bus; we got to  
8 regroup here before we get back on," because there's --  
9 we have to take a different stand here and proceed with  
10 a different process.

11           So we would not go and go ahead and remove  
12 something with disregard to whatever contamination we  
13 found.

14           MR. MACH: I'd like to add a little something  
15 too.

16           I mean, we could talk about the different  
17 contract mechanisms for hours and days, and Dave and I  
18 and some of the other project managers go through  
19 literally weeks of classes on the different contract  
20 mechanisms.

21           There are fixed-price contracts, like, you  
22 build this house, you get X dollars. There are -- Most  
23 of our remediation contracts are: We want you to do  
24 this, and here is about what it's going to cost; but if  
25 it costs more, we'll give you more money, and you keep

1 doing it. If you find something else, we'll tell you to  
2 do it; we'll give you more money to do it, because we  
3 know that there are a lot of unknowns that come in the  
4 environmental cleanup business very often.

5           So we go to different type of contract  
6 mechanisms to try and meet how well we can define that  
7 scope so we can get as good of a job for as little money  
8 as possible.

9           MR. CAMPBELL: Lynne Brown, you got a question?

10          MR. BROWN: Yes, I got a question.

11          When Triple A was out at the Shipyard, they  
12 received a lot of radioactive waste. Did they document  
13 with -- in our -- with you guys?

14          MS. L. LOWMAN: When they were out at the  
15 Shipyard, NRDL was not in existence, and the Navy was  
16 not operating the yard. So any records that Triple A  
17 may have had are not Navy records.

18          MR. BROWN: So who had the records that -- that  
19 went out there, that's out there --?

20          MS. L. LOWMAN: I -- I can't speak to that.

21          MR. R. LOWMAN: We've never seen anything.

22          MS. L. LOWMAN: We haven't seen anything.

23          MR. R. LOWMAN: We just know that they were  
24 cited for numerous violations.

25          MS. L. LOWMAN: I believe they were cited -- I

1 heard the number of 19,000 different environmental  
2 violations, but that was not under Navy control.

3 MR. BROWN: Okay. One more question and I'll  
4 finish.

5 MS. L. LOWMAN: Okay.

6 MR. BROWN: How come the US Justice Department  
7 didn't take that case?

8 MR. MACH: I have no idea.

9 MS. L. LOWMAN: I don't know.

10 MR. CAMPBELL: Excuse me. We have a question.  
11 Please identify yourself.

12 MR. JAMES: My name is Oscar James. I'm a  
13 native resident of Bayview-Hunters Point. My question  
14 is: In the 50 -- in the '50s, early '50s, when we had  
15 shrimps out in the bay and the Navy did testing out on  
16 the bay and the shrimps came up missing, do they have  
17 records on what caused that, what they dumped?

18 MS. L. LOWMAN: I will look and see. I haven't  
19 seen anything specifically relating to shrimp. Are  
20 you -- are you asking if there's records of what they  
21 dumped in the bay?

22 MR. JAMES: Well, I know they dumped chemicals  
23 in the bay down by the three piers, because my father  
24 was a participant --

25 MS. L. LOWMAN: Okay.

1           MR. JAMES:  -- working for the Navy.

2           But my question is:  What did they dump?  Do

3 you have records?  Because we used to have shrimps in

4 our bay, lots of shrimps.

5           We had a place called shrimp boat where we used

6 to go and buy shrimps when I was young, I would say,

7 five, eight years old.  We used to go down F Street and

8 buy shrimps in a little bag.  Fifteen cents it would

9 cost us.

10          But after they did this dumping, we had --

11 there's no more shrimps out in the bay.

12          MR. MASON:  No more shrimps.

13          MS. L. LOWMAN:  Okay.

14          MR. JAMES:  The Navy --  When the Navy dumped

15 this, do they have records of what they dumped in that

16 bay?

17          MS. L. LOWMAN:  What we are --

18          MR. JAMES:  My father's since deceased.

19          MS. L. LOWMAN:  What we are looking at are the

20 radiological records as far as there was dumping of

21 numerous things, including some of the sandblast grit

22 and different things that may have gone out there.

23          As far as all the hazardous chemicals, I cannot

24 address that.  But I can -- you know, we're looking.

25 We're providing all the information we can find.



1           MR. R. LOWMAN: We will provide you with a list  
2 of the -- of the radioactive material that we find  
3 records that was dumped out there. We can't do the  
4 others.

5           MR. JAMES: For the Farallones and for the  
6 Shipyard?

7           MR. R. LOWMAN: Yes, sir.

8           MR. CAMPBELL: Richard?

9           MR. MACH: What did you just say?

10          MR. BROWN: Oh, shucks.

11          MR. MACH: We are not going down -- We're not  
12 going to the Farallones, and we're not investigating the  
13 Farallones as part of this cleanup program, to clarify.

14          But to get back -- get back to your question --

15          MS. L. LOWMAN: Well, I think we have --

16          MR. R. LOWMAN: The question --

17          MS. L. LOWMAN: We have --

18          MR. MACH: If there's a record of us shipping  
19 something to the Farallones, that will be in the HRA.  
20 But we are not going back and investigating the  
21 Farallones.

22          But getting back to your question about what  
23 was dumped in the bay, there is an ongoing ecological  
24 study being done by us about the sediments in the bay  
25 and the risk to both ecological receptors and human

1 receptors.

2           And so within a few months we are going to have  
3 an update to make a presentation. So at that time  
4 hopefully, you'll be here to see that presentation. It  
5 will be on Parcel F, and you'll see it in the -- in the  
6 agenda.

7           MR. JAMES: Okay. I have one other question.  
8 On the last three dry docks, which is the deepest part  
9 of the -- of the Shipyard -- now, they dropped war heads  
10 and different things down there -- are they going to go  
11 down there and see what they dumped there?

12           MR. ATTENDEE: They dropped --

13           MR. JAMES: It's in the Shipyard.

14           MR. MACH: Do you --? If you can provide us  
15 more information on war heads or other UXO being dumped  
16 in the bay, we'll go and look for it, but I have no  
17 records that there were any -- ever any UXOs dumped in  
18 the bay.

19           MR. JAMES: The Navy don't have any records.

20           MR. R. LOWMAN: The dry docks were surveyed.  
21 Those were surveyed as part --

22           MR. JAMES: Those three dry docks -- the deep  
23 dry docks, they were surveyed?

24           MR. R. LOWMAN: Yes, sir.

25           MR. JAMES: They went down to see what was in

1 there?

2 MR. R. LOWMAN: They surveyed for

3 radiological --

4 MR. JAMES: What did they see?

5 MR. R. LOWMAN: For radiological --

6 MR. MACH: He's talking about UXO.

7 MR. JAMES: There's no man down there?

8 MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah, but that's -- that's some

9 of the point on radiological records specifically.

10 MR. JAMES: Okay.

11 MR. R. LOWMAN: We have a very strict union.

12 We only --

13 MR. CAMPBELL: Sure.

14 Marie Harrison, J. R. Manuel.

15 MS. HARRISON: I guess -- I don't know which

16 one of you -- and I'm going to assume, Richard, you're

17 going to be the one that should answer this question for

18 me.

19 Going back to Triple A and all of the stuff

20 that they were cited for, they were cited for -- I got

21 tired of looking at the list, show you how big the list

22 was. I got tired of looking at the list. But because

23 that was Navy property, I mean, are you saying --? You

24 said -- I heard her say that they don't have copies of

25 the records.

1           When the Navy sued Triple A, they did not ask  
2 for or demand records or something to show where they  
3 buried all that stuff?

4           MR. MACH: The Navy didn't sue Triple A. The  
5 city did.

6           MR. ATTENDEE: City did.

7           MS. HARRISON: The city did? It was the city?  
8 Then maybe you shouldn't answer that question.

9           MR. CAMPBELL: J. R. Manuel and Christine  
10 Shirley. Then we'll come back.

11          MR. MANUEL: Okay. I -- I do a lot of work  
12 with the Alameda Naval Base when it was shutting down.  
13 There was a substantial number of independent  
14 contractors over there. Records were being thrown out  
15 left and right. Lot of the records are not required to  
16 be kept beyond a certain point. People were just  
17 throwing them out.

18          The Shipyard is no different. You have a lot  
19 of independent contractors there. And the independent  
20 people I work with and the Navy personnel over there,  
21 they basically had secured everything that they needed  
22 to secure. Anything that was left out was to be  
23 disposed of, because it was not required by law to be  
24 maintained. So that's why a lot of records may not be  
25 around.

1           Getting to the sediment issue, my property's  
2 right there on the waterfront. The silt comes in, and  
3 the Army Corps of Engineers are required to maintain  
4 the -- the depth, which they are not doing. But the  
5 silt comes in on the ships. It comes in from  
6 everywhere, across the bay, everywhere else.

7           So, you know, everything is not coming just  
8 from the Shipyard. I live right down the street from  
9 it. So my concern is just as great as anybody else in  
10 here about contamination.

11           MR. CAMPBELL: Christine Shirley and Ray  
12 Tompkins.

13           MS. SHIRLEY: This isn't really related to  
14 radiation, but I have the records from Triple A lawsuit  
15 at my office if anyone wants to look at them. Big file  
16 cabinetful.

17           MR. TISDELL: Can I get a copy of them?

18           MS. SHIRLEY: Like I said --

19           MR. CAMPBELL: Point of order.

20           MS. SHIRLEY: -- you can come down to my office  
21 and look at it.

22           MR. CAMPBELL: Ray Tompkins, then yourself.

23           MR. TOMPKINS: Chris, Chris, since you have the  
24 records, one, because I'm concerned that the scope of  
25 the researching scam, did Triple A have radioactive

1 material --?

2 MS. SHIRLEY: I don't remember.

3 MR. TOMPKINS: 'Cause do you understand, if  
4 before we make a true assessment, we need to look at the  
5 totality of it and not just walk in with blinders only  
6 the responsibility of the Navy, especially when it's  
7 concerned to the health of the community.

8 MS. L. LOWMAN: I should clarify, the Navy is  
9 responsible for the cleanup of that property. Triple A  
10 was a contractor of the Navy.

11 Should we find anything that is -- it would  
12 fall in theory under Navy's responsibility to clean it  
13 up, Triple A is no longer in existence. But we can't  
14 take necessarily and -- and provide you all the  
15 information on what Triple A did.

16 MR. TOMPKINS: I understand, but --

17 Hold on. I haven't finished.

18 MR. BROWN: Okay.

19 MR. TOMPKINS: Understand . . . Understand my  
20 concern.

21 One, as you go to -- as you have the map up  
22 here saying where particular contamination is at, that  
23 then with Triple A having the run of the yard and being  
24 cited for it, many as they were cited violations and the  
25 possibility of radiological contamination and spills and

1 the rest of it, are you revising this map in terms of  
2 possibilities of exposure as you get the evidence from  
3 Triple A, or are you just relying on Navy doc- --? I'm  
4 not too clear in terms of the information in your  
5 database.

6 MR. MACH: Well, I mean, as any new information  
7 comes in, we evaluate it; and if we need to add  
8 something to the map, it will continue to be updated  
9 and -- and revised.

10 Keep in mind, the entire Shipyard was leased to  
11 Triple A. And -- and while -- while they were a very  
12 industrious polluter --

13 MR. TOMPKINS: How about "dirty and nasty"?

14 MR. MACH: -- a very -- very appropriate term,  
15 they were also operating in most of the shipyard.

16 So when they did their very vast amounts of  
17 illegal dumping, most of it they did over on Parcel E.  
18 They dumped a lot of stuff in the landfill and a lot of  
19 stuff in the old oil ponds over there.

20 So, I mean, while we know they violated  
21 numerous laws and regulations, it's pretty -- fairly  
22 easy to find where they put it, because when we find it,  
23 we find it.

24 MR. TOMPKINS: But understand, as we were  
25 discussing earlier, when we're dealing with the fire or

1 the burn of possible exposure because of that; and if we  
2 don't know where they are at, then it's more on the  
3 prudent side -- I like to err on being cautious -- then  
4 in terms of monitoring and searching for possibility of  
5 radiological or chemical contamination, which may not be  
6 in your records, from the Navy records, but because of  
7 Triple A's behavior and a lack of responsibility and  
8 that the increased possibility of serious contamination  
9 is there and with the fire, possibility of additional  
10 exposure that wasn't calculated in the initial -- when  
11 you look at what -- surveying the land what possibility  
12 the chemicals would be in the land and after fire,  
13 possible chemicals we say may not harm, may not be any  
14 harm. That's where I'm concerned, okay.

15           In your final analysis, you would then include  
16 Triple A's and do a thorough mapping of this?

17           MS. L. LOWMAN: The HRA will not include Triple  
18 A. The HRA will include a section on the Shipyard. It  
19 will include a section on NRDL operations, and it will  
20 include CROSSROADS, OPERATION CROSSROADS, and the ships  
21 they brought back, because they brought so many of the  
22 ships back to Hunters Point.

23           MR. TOMPKINS: Right.

24           MS. L. LOWMAN: At that point that is a Navy  
25 Historical Radiological Assessment document.



1           So what -- what we're doing, for instance,  
2 we're doing walk-over surveys in numerous areas,  
3 anyplace that we suspect something. And should we find  
4 something, we won't delineate whether it is Triple A or  
5 whether it is Navy. It is just contamination.

6           MR. TOMPKINS: How are you going to address  
7 Triple A in your final documents? Is my concern.

8           MS. L. LOWMAN: On the Historical Radiological  
9 Assessment?

10          The draft that is coming out in October, I  
11 believe that is supposed to be a Navy historical  
12 document. I will ask when I go back if there is any  
13 intention. The draft that I have seen has nothing on  
14 Triple A in it. Perhaps I can, you know, obtain some  
15 records. But right now they were not -- I don't believe  
16 they were going to look at that.

17          MR. TOMPKINS: From a scientific --

18          MR. MACH: If someone provides us records on  
19 Triple A and where they may have disposed of radiation,  
20 I'm sure that we can find a way to --

21          MS. L. LOWMAN: Any- --

22          MR. MACH: -- add it to the document.

23          MS. L. LOWMAN: Anything --

24          MR. TOMPKINS: My concern as a scientist --

25          MS. L. LOWMAN: -- we're getting --

1 MR. TOMPKINS: -- it will be inconclusive --  
2 MS. L. LOWMAN: -- we're trying to add.  
3 MR. TOMPKINS: -- to make any conclusions  
4 without adding them to the document. Thank you.  
5 MR. CAMPBELL: Marie Harrison, then Keith  
6 Tisdell. I'm sorry.  
7 MS. HARRISON: Okay. My question, then, again  
8 is: If in fact the city was the person who sued Triple  
9 A, you need to find out for us and let us know did they  
10 in fact ask for and/or demand records to show what they  
11 dumped, where they dumped it, and how much they dumped  
12 of any particular thing? So I hope that you will get  
13 that back to us real soon.  
14 MR. CAPOBRES: Okay.  
15 MS. HARRISON: My other question is that I need  
16 to state that now my mind starts to wonder, because I  
17 think I had mentioned this to you once before, Richard,  
18 that I guess a couple years back on Innes Avenue, as a  
19 matter of fact, one of the resident's backyard, who was  
20 digging for a -- turning the soil to put a herb garden  
21 in her backyard, she discovered a half decayed -- what  
22 do you call -- artillery shell.  
23 MR. TISDELL: Unexploded.  
24 MS. HARRISON: And what we need to find out,  
25 then, how many -- how far down did -- how far down Innes

1 Avenue did the Navy in fact store artillery or anything  
2 else? Because I'm sure they didn't shoot it down there.

3 MR. CAMPBELL: Sure. Marie, we're -- we have  
4 to stay on the radiological aspect.

5 MS. HARRISON: Well, that's just a question  
6 that I --

7 MR. CAMPBELL: Sure.

8 MS. HARRISON: -- need to have answered. I  
9 want to put that in the record so that you'll find that  
10 answer --

11 MR. CAMPBELL: Okay.

12 MS. HARRISON: -- out for me.

13 MR. CAMPBELL: You had a question and gentleman  
14 next to you.

15 MR. MACH: Give me more information on it.

16 MR. ROSS: My name is Leonard Ross. I'm a  
17 somewhat private -- I mean community activist, sole  
18 community activist; and I have the uttermost confidence  
19 in the Navy's time-honored tradition in our military  
20 to -- to do a thorough and professional job.

21 My problem here is with the community-base  
22 organizations that profess to offer a service to the  
23 community.

24 MR. CAMPBELL: Excuse me. Is this --

25 MR. ROSS: I'm a- --

1 MR. CAMPBELL: -- based on radiological --?

2 MR. ROSS: Yes, based on a radiological survey  
3 in doing this work, because the last thing the Navy told  
4 me -- I was E-4 in the Navy, and I -- I opted to  
5 discharge for E-1 and was busted to E-1 and honorably  
6 discharged. But yet, instead of --

7 The point I'm making moreover about the job  
8 opportunities, they said, "Don't volunteer." I'm not  
9 volunteering. I'm looking for job opportunity. I  
10 want -- I'm capable, able, and willing to do this work.

11 We need some feedback from the community  
12 organization and say they are going serve this  
13 community.

14 MR. CAMPBELL: We are going to be addressing  
15 that in another area still.

16 Don Paul?

17 MR. PAUL: I heard Richard Mach promise to  
18 integrate any data that would come to him about Triple  
19 A, and it appears that Chris Shirley has comprehensive  
20 data, so perhaps we could move that that data be  
21 integrated before the HRA is complete so that you would  
22 have a study that really is comprehensive.

23 MS. L. LOWMAN: I will.

24 MR. CAMPBELL: Maybe we can save that till  
25 later and then maybe have a motion on it.

1           Leuren, did you have a question, or did  
2 somebody down there have a question?

3           MS. MORET: Yeah, I have a question.

4           MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. Can you make it brief,  
5 please?

6           MS. MORET: Yeah. I would like to address the  
7 question of public health in the Hunters Point community  
8 and how astoundingly poor it is, which I looked at  
9 informal community surveys after the fire, and it seems  
10 to me that there's a credibility gap between what is  
11 being presented about the hazards in the environment and  
12 what I actually know about the public health in this  
13 community.

14          MR. CAMPBELL: Is this specific to radiation?

15          MS. MORET: Yes, it is.

16          MR. CAMPBELL: Okay.

17          MS. MORET: And I haven't seen any radiation  
18 readings on monitors. I would like some more  
19 information on that.

20          ATTENDEE: What kind of monitors?

21          MR. R. LOWMAN: Ra- --

22          MR. MACH: I'll take this.

23          We have discussed several times the health of  
24 the community and what we can do to -- to be part of  
25 what the community is doing and looking at the many

1 different aspects that -- that are causing adverse  
2 health.

3 I actually have been sending e-mails back and  
4 forth, and I have a conference call on Tuesday of next  
5 week with basically our headquarters in D.C. and the  
6 Navy Environmental Health Center, and we have to go  
7 through NEHC in order to fund ATSDR.

8 Now, ATSDR has their annual work plan for FY-02  
9 under review by NEHC right now, and there are three  
10 things that I'm trying to add to that FY-02 work plan.

11 One is to have ATSDR do an independent  
12 third-party review of the HRA that comes out by RASO.

13 Second is that ATSDR revise and update the 1994  
14 public health assessment that they did for the entire  
15 Shipyard.

16 And the third is to see if there's a way to  
17 fund them on a periodic basis to get involved with many  
18 of the different groups or some of the different groups  
19 that are looking at public health, including HEAP, HEAT.  
20 There was the African-American health summit that was --

21 MS. PETERSON: There was a health summit.

22 MR. MACH: There was a health summit that was  
23 done, I think, a month -- a month ago.

24 So we're not sure exactly where to piece them  
25 in, but we are looking at trying to get them involved

1 with the already established health groups that are out  
2 there. So we are trying to do our part on all these  
3 different fronts.

4 MS. MORET: And will there be funding for the  
5 community groups?

6 MR. MACH: No. There will be -- there will be  
7 funding for ATSDR to be involved in these programs.

8 MS. MORET: So that gives the Navy the  
9 control --

10 MR. CAMPBELL: Excuse me.

11 MS. MORET: -- of the outcome.

12 MR. CAMPBELL: Excuse me.

13 MS. ATTENDEE: Yep.

14 MR. MACH: If there is not -- The ATSDR is an  
15 independent organization.

16 MR. TOMPKINS: Health risk assessment we'll  
17 discuss these issues --

18 MR. CAMPBELL: Yes.

19 MR. TOMPKINS: -- further down --

20 MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. So we'll move that --

21 MR. TOMPKINS: -- later in the agenda.

22 MR. CAMPBELL: J. R. Manuel?

23 MR. MANUEL: Very briefly, Nia Crowder worked  
24 for the City and County of San Francisco Health  
25 Department that was investigating the asthma and the

1 other problems in this community, and the funding kind  
2 of dried up. So there may be a lot of information there  
3 that can be of help.

4 Further, you know, I work with the Epidemiology  
5 and the Immunization Coalition for San Francisco  
6 invo- -- involving children's problems with these  
7 diseases and whatever. A lot of groups citywide that  
8 are already doing this research and have a lot of  
9 information that maybe will give you a head start on --

10 MR. CAMPBELL: J. R., leave that part to the  
11 health assessment area.

12 MR. MANUEL: Oh, okay.

13 MR. CAMPBELL: Wonderful point. Thank you.

14 MR. MANUEL: Okay.

15 MR. CAMPBELL: Please continue.

16 MS. L. LOWMAN: Thank you.

17 Question number --

18 MR. CAMPBELL: I'm sorry.

19 MR. CASEY: I wanted to ask --

20 MR. CAMPBELL: Would you identify yourself,  
21 please.

22 MR. CASEY: Thomas Casey, city planner for the  
23 City of Oakland, was working on Oakland Army Base.

24 I wanted to know, were you able to get  
25 declass- -- material declassified from 1948, I guess?



1 Were you able to get all Navy materials that relates to  
2 radiation declassified?

3 And if you were able to, to -- up to what date  
4 were you able to get all of the declassified material?

5 In other words, at which -- which point did  
6 they tell you they would not -- they were no longer  
7 declassifying all the material related to radiation?

8 MS. L. LOWMAN: Okay. The only material we  
9 have been looking at specifically right now is the  
10 material associated with NRDL and Hunters Point Naval  
11 Shipyard.

12 There is material over at the San Bruno  
13 archives where we have been this week that has been  
14 declassified. There is material over there that is  
15 still classified, and then there is restricted data.

16 Restricted data you need to have not only a  
17 security clearance, but something called a DOE clearance  
18 or what they call CNWDI, which is critical nuclear  
19 weapons design information clearance.

20 But there -- So there are different levels of  
21 classification. But there is a tremendous amount of the  
22 technical report, all types of things that have been  
23 declassified.

24 There is still some material that is remaining  
25 in the classification, and then there is the DOE

1 material, which I -- I don't have control over getting  
2 declassified at all. That is the Department of Energy.

3 MR. CASEY: Upon -- upon what basis have they  
4 continued to classify that material?

5 MR. R. LOWMAN: Usually, it has something to do  
6 with probably nuclear weapons.

7 MS. L. LOWMAN: That would probably --

8 MR. R. LOWMAN: We -- we can't -- we can't go  
9 to them and say, "Please declassify this."

10 Some of the stuff we looked at the other day  
11 what had been one point been secret, and now it's  
12 declassified. I can see why it was declassified. There  
13 was absolutely no reason for it to be secret in the  
14 first place. It was ridiculous.

15 I spent yesterday afternoon looking at meal  
16 tickets for the sailors at NRDL and how many bridge  
17 passes they got to go across the Golden Gate Bridge and  
18 phone books for the 12th Naval District. There's all  
19 kind of stuff there. We can't control what they  
20 classify and what they don't. We can't ask them to  
21 declassify.

22 MR. CASEY: You can't ask them?

23 MS. L. LOWMAN: We can -- we can submit it, I  
24 mean, but we have, you know, the ability to see that  
25 too.

1           So there's a point where there is numerous --  
2 for instance, tomorrow we have 30 boxes of declassified  
3 material to review, and it's material that was  
4 classified and is no longer classified. So it is  
5 something that just became declassified. I haven't seen  
6 it. I don't know what it is.

7           Well, different people are looking at  
8 different . . .

9           But as far as how much of it, there is, you  
10 know -- for instance, one of the boxes I looked at  
11 yesterday had security-clearance declinations.

12           In other words, there were people that worked  
13 at NRDL or the Shipyard that wanted the clearance back  
14 in '48 or '50, and they were turned down; and that  
15 was -- the whole box -- why they were turned down. And  
16 that had been classified. Now it isn't, and there's all  
17 these records there.

18           MR. CAMPBELL: Ray Tompkins, can you make it  
19 brief?

20           MR. TOMPKINS: I'll be very quick. To follow  
21 up on his question that I did hear the answer, but I  
22 understand the different levels and so. But he asked  
23 for a time period.

24           For example, in '44, '45 in the early  
25 experiment, is that bulk of information still

1 classified, or is it classification, for example, in the  
2 latter days of the Shipyard in the '60s? What time  
3 period are you stumbling in --

4 MS. L. LOWMAN: The time limit --

5 MR. TOMPKINS: -- a block as classification?

6 MS. L. LOWMAN: There is no time limit that  
7 makes it classified or unclassified. It's the type of  
8 information that is --

9 MR. R. LOWMAN: The subject.

10 MS. L. LOWMAN: It is the subject of the  
11 information --

12 MR. TOMPKINS: Even after 50 years it's still  
13 classified?

14 MS. L. LOWMAN: -- contained therein.

15 MR. CAMPBELL: Okay.

16 MR. TOMPKINS: Thank you.

17 MR. CAMPBELL: Marie Harrison, and we are going  
18 to have to break because you have to change the tape  
19 fairly shortly for a few seconds.

20 MS. HARRISON: Okay. I just wanted to ask the  
21 question about declassification.

22 Have you asked in particular, or are we going  
23 to ask for any information dealing with any radiological  
24 testing and where there were humans and/or animals that  
25 are still --

1 MR. CAMPBELL: I think --  
2 MS. HARRISON: -- maybe --  
3 MR. CAMPBELL: I think that's another  
4 question --  
5 MS. HARRISON: -- classified?  
6 MR. CAMPBELL: -- that's on there.  
7 MS. HARRISON: Oh, is it?  
8 MR. R. LOWMAN: I think we answered it last  
9 month -- last time.  
10 MR. CAMPBELL: Okay.  
11 MS. L. LOWMAN: I'm sorry.  
12 MS. HARRISON: Well, since I wasn't here --  
13 MS. L. LOWMAN: I'm sorry. What was your  
14 question?  
15 MS. HARRISON: -- how did you answer that  
16 question?  
17 MS. L. LOWMAN: I'm sorry. What was the  
18 question?  
19 MS. HARRISON: Are you going to ask for or have  
20 [sic] it been declassified any information on  
21 radiological testing on humans and/or animals done at  
22 the Shipyard within the community, within our community?  
23 Is that information still classified? Is it  
24 declassified? Have you run across it yet?  
25 They said it was a question that was on the

1 list. I didn't see it.

2 MS. L. LOWMAN: Okay. Some of the research  
3 that we did this week I found documents on humans  
4 experimentation. I found documents also on animal  
5 experimentation, certainly lot of rat liver  
6 experimentation.

7 But those documents are not classified. They  
8 are over at the San Bruno archives. They would be  
9 available to anybody who wanted to go through and do the  
10 research on them. So those certainly --

11 MS. HARRISON: What you're saying is that  
12 you're not looking into those kind of -- that kind of  
13 radiation?

14 MR. R. LOWMAN: No, we looked into it.

15 MS. L. LOWMAN: We looked into it. We -- we're  
16 doing research on any type of radiation, ionizing  
17 radiation, that was used up there.

18 MR. R. LOWMAN: I could tell you one of the  
19 things we did: We were looking at the technical reports  
20 for a certain period of years, which were -- these are  
21 the experiments they did. And what -- we went in there  
22 and we looked in there, and they would say the problems,  
23 the finding, and they have an abstract; and then we'd  
24 have to go digging back there.

25 What we were looking for was what isotope did

1 they use and what building did they use it in. That's  
2 what we were looking at.

3 MS. L. LOWMAN: And -- and what did they use it  
4 for. There was a combination. So -- and how they used  
5 it and if they injected rats or if they injected mongrel  
6 dogs or they injected, you know -- or they had this type  
7 of radioactive material, where did they get the material  
8 from.

9 MR. CAMPBELL: Lynne Brown, and then let's hold  
10 the questions.

11 MR. BROWN: I'd like to -- I'd like to ask you,  
12 was the -- was the NRDL ever involved in contracting  
13 with the Vacaville prisoners to put electromagnetic  
14 implants in them?

15 MS. L. LOWMAN: I did -- I found some  
16 information on them using some prisoners from Alameda  
17 that were at a work farm area to do nonradiological  
18 studies for habitation and fallout shelters, but I have  
19 not found anything having to do with Vacaville.

20 MR. BROWN: I have a --

21 MS. L. LOWMAN: If you have it, let me know,  
22 okay?

23 MR. BROWN: I'll bring it to you.

24 MS. L. LOWMAN: Please provide it. Anything  
25 you have, please provide it.

1 MR. TOMPKINS: Okay.

2 MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. Willie -- I'm sorry.

3 Willie Ratcliff wants to ask a question.

4 MS. L. LOWMAN: Okay.

5 MR. CAMPBELL: Can you hold it until after we

6 change the tape and come back from break? And that's in

7 the same pattern.

8 How long will you need for that? Five minutes

9 or so?

10 THE REPORTER: Two minutes.

11 MR. CAMPBELL: Two minutes?

12 Okay. So can we just take a break for two

13 minutes?

14 (Recess 8:10 p.m. to 8:14 p.m.)

15 MR. CAMPBELL: Mr. Ratcliff had a question of

16 the group, please.

17 Would you say the question, please.

18 MR. RATCLIFF: Yes. My question is the fact

19 that a meeting at two-year they brought up at, the Navy

20 did, controlled releases into the atmosphere of

21 radioactive and without the consent or the knowledge at

22 the Hunters Point Shipyard, which sits in the middle of

23 a metropolitan area that has more than six million

24 people in it.

25 I'd just like a little bit more information on



1 that just to show what the Navy has been doing out here  
2 at the Shipyard. And we feel like the same thing's  
3 going on, that there be trying to do things that the  
4 communities -- people being left out and not being told  
5 the truth or hiding the facts.

6           And not only that, something else is going on  
7 who's trying to split the community up to these RAB  
8 meetings and talking about intelligence investigation of  
9 the mee- -- people in the community. And we're really  
10 getting out there now.

11           But if somebody can tell me what was the  
12 controlled releases, how were they done, and what impact  
13 they had on the community healthwise.

14           MR. R. LOWMAN: I can tell you, sir. When we  
15 answered that, we answered that yes, there were, because  
16 any other answer would have been not true.

17           Now, the only ones we discovered, because we  
18 had already discovered those -- and I did mention this  
19 at the last RAB meeting -- that when they were -- when  
20 they brought the ships back for decontamination, they  
21 decontaminated the ships.

22           All of the material off the those ships was  
23 taken to the Farallones and was dumped. There are  
24 records of that. It will be provided in the HRA. There  
25 are records of that.

1           Now, the controlled releases that we were  
2 speaking about was: They brought also the ships that  
3 were the support ships back. Some of them had in their  
4 evaporators, which is where you make your fresh water on  
5 the ship, they -- they had some because you were going  
6 to suck up seawater, and some had seawater which was  
7 going to be mildly contaminated. So they had some  
8 contamination in those evaporators.

9           They found the best way to do that was a acid  
10 solution to get it out. They did. And there are  
11 records. I have run across records, and I have seen the  
12 records where they took the acid solution. They made a  
13 decision, and this decision was made by the scientists  
14 here, the scientists at Cal.-Berkeley, the scientists at  
15 Livermore, that this was diluted enough that they could  
16 release this acid solution to the bay.

17           And -- and I don't know what the amounts they  
18 did. I don't have that information. But they did that  
19 back in the late '40s. That -- and that was what we  
20 were referring to, sir.

21           MS. L. LOWMAN: There was also -- I'd like to  
22 clarify one other item for him that also under their  
23 Atomic Energy Commission license, they were authorized  
24 to, after decay and storage, in other words, letting the  
25 radioisotope decay down past regulatory limits to

1 discharge certain --

2 MS. WASHINGTON: Excuse me.

3 MS. L. LOWMAN: You okay? -- to discharge

4 certain amounts of diluted radioactive materials into

5 various sewer systems. This was an approved release.

6 It was part of their license. Everything was

7 controlled.

8 So I do -- I am not aware of any intentional

9 atmospheric releases. That is the one thing that I am

10 not aware of. And I don't believe we found any

11 records --

12 MR. R. LOWMAN: We haven't found anything on

13 that.

14 MS. L. LOWMAN: -- as far as atmospheric

15 releases, not intentional.

16 MR. TOMPKINS: Accidental?

17 MS. L. LOWMAN: Or unintentional for that

18 matter. I haven't found any records of any atmospheric

19 releases.

20 MR. RATCLIFF: Well, I certainly ask for you to

21 look close, because there were a lot of people dead from

22 this, and things went on.

23 MR. ATTENDEE: What went on?

24 MR. RATCLIFF: A lot of testing on people.

25 MR. R. LOWMAN: We will look very closely.

1 MS. L. LOWMAN: We are looking.

2 MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. Marie Harrison and

3 then . . .

4 MS. HARRISON: You said that there was

5 controlled releases of radiation into the sewage line?

6 MR. BROWN: Right.

7 MR. RATCLIFF: Sewage line . . .

8 MS. HARRISON: In the same sewage lines that

9 are out there now?

10 MR. MACH: Sanitary sewer system that goes to

11 the public treatment system, yes.

12 MS. L. LOWMAN: That is --

13 MS. HARRISON: What --?

14 MS. L. LOWMAN: That is --

15 MS. HARRISON: Do we --? Excuse me.

16 My question is: What kind of danger did that

17 cause for -- cause for the people who worked there with

18 that sewage? And long term and short term do we know?

19 Because where it may have been controlled and relatively

20 small to them then, it may at this point in time have

21 contributed to a lot of bad health from some of those

22 folks, and some of those folks are family members.

23 Can --? Do you have any information on that?

24 MR. MACH: It's my understanding -- and correct

25 me if I'm wrong -- that it was discussed last month that

1 the discharge limits that were in place back then, the  
2 sanitary sewer, are very similar to those that are in  
3 place now. You can still discharge very low-level  
4 radioactive material to the sanitary sewer system.  
5 So --

6 MR. HARRISON: I guess what I'm looking for,  
7 Richard, is a --

8 MR. MACH: The same -- the same material that  
9 is allowed to go to the sanitary system now was allowed  
10 to go back then.

11 MR. TOMPKINS: Excuse me. Point of  
12 clarification.

13 MR. CAMPBELL: Point of order, please. You may  
14 want to explain who you are, and you're sitting in as an  
15 alternate for Keith Tisdell because he had to leave  
16 because of an emergency?

17 MR. PAUL: I think I'm going to be a continuing  
18 alternate for Keith, because his fiancée has health  
19 problems, and he needs to take care of her. That was  
20 the announcement.

21 MR. CAMPBELL: You had a question.

22 MR. PAUL: Yes. I'm Don Paul.

23 So controlled releases, do you know what and  
24 how much, and will that be part of the Historical  
25 Radiological Assessment?

1 MS. L. LOWMAN: Any records that we can find we  
2 will provide in the Historical Radiological Assessment.  
3 It may be general to the term that the license allowed  
4 them to do this, you know. If we have the licenses that  
5 were held by the ship -- by the Shipyard and by NRDL --  
6 MR. PAUL: So you aren't able to tell what and  
7 how much?  
8 MS. L. LOWMAN: I may not be able --  
9 MR. PAUL: You won't be able to say --?  
10 MS. L. LOWMAN: -- to give you --  
11 MR. PAUL: Yeah.  
12 MS. L. LOWMAN: -- "On November 6th --"  
13 MR. PAUL: Right.  
14 MS. L. LOWMAN: "-- of 1952, they released this  
15 much," but I may be able to tell you that they were  
16 given the authority to release this much into a sanitary  
17 sewer system.  
18 MR. CAMPBELL: Bar- --  
19 MS. L. LOWMAN: I may not be able to give you  
20 specific dates.  
21 MR. R. LOWMAN: Some of the information we came  
22 up with is the fact this -- this acid had been released  
23 into the bay came from a letter from some admiral to  
24 Mayor Feinstein back in the '80s, I believe.  
25 MS. HARRISON: Mayor Feinstein.

1           MR. CAMPBELL:  Barbara?

2           MS. BUSHNELL:  I just would like to actually in  
3 the interest that we're losing people quite readily here  
4 that we need to have some fin- -- some end to this  
5 meeting, and that I'd like us to set a goal as what  
6 time -- how much longer --

7           MR. CAMPBELL:  Sure.

8           MS. BUSHNELL:  -- we're going to continue.

9           MR. CAMPBELL:  That's an excellent point,  
10 because right now we're going through the -- the RASO  
11 points.

12           How many -- how many questions did you have  
13 total left?

14           MR. MACH:  On the original list that we started  
15 on, there were 18 questions.

16           MR. CAMPBELL:  Right.

17           MR. MACH:  And we've done 13 last meeting --

18           MR. CAMPBELL:  Right.

19           MR. MACH:  -- and we have done 5 this  
20 meeting -- 4 this meeting.

21           MS. ATTENDEE:  Four.

22           MR. MACH:  And we have 1 more on this one, and  
23 then the next list has 19.

24           MR. CAMPBELL:  Okay.  I would like to hear from  
25 the -- the RAB members:  Do you want to continue with

1 that one question? I'd like hear from the RASO  
2 representatives. I'd like to hear from the Navy what  
3 the position is, if I -- if I could --  
4 MR. MACH: It's up --  
5 MR. CAMPBELL: -- please.  
6 MR. MACH: It's up to the -- it's up to the  
7 community.  
8 MS. L. LOWMAN: It's up to the community what  
9 they want to do.  
10 MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. Can we --? I've heard  
11 from one member -- one RAB member. Let's do that one  
12 question right now.  
13 MR. BROWN: May -- may I say something?  
14 MR. CAMPBELL: Yes, please.  
15 MR. BROWN: Can we just skip those questions  
16 and get to the July 6th fire?  
17 MR. TOMPKINS: No. Let's conclude one point.  
18 MR. CAMPBELL. Don Paul?  
19 MR. PAUL: I propose that we do the one  
20 remaining question of the 18 and leave the 19 that's --  
21 are also set for another meeting.  
22 MR. CAMPBELL: Thank you.  
23 Ray Tompkins?  
24 MR. TOMPKINS: I have one point of  
25 clarification. In our meeting previously, the



1 representative who's not present, one of our board  
2 members who's water quality control -- do you --? Who  
3 is his name?

4 MR. MACH: Brad Job.

5 MR. TOMPKINS: Brad. We were discussing during  
6 your presentation that historically -- he was going  
7 back -- that they weren't monitoring, because someone  
8 kind of asked a same, similar question at the time so  
9 that you couldn't tell in terms of radiological level  
10 because they weren't looking for it during then at that  
11 time. There was no ra- --

12 MS. L. LOWMAN: The water -- the water people  
13 weren't looking for --

14 MR. TOMPKINS: For the radioactivity, he said  
15 they weren't doing -- going into the sewage. They  
16 weren't looking for it. So I just wanted to share that,  
17 that --

18 MS. L. LOWMAN: Okay.

19 MR. TOMPKINS: -- the data who you asked in  
20 terms of radiological levels, they weren't doing that  
21 during that time, similar to what the testimony earlier  
22 this evening of . . .

23 MR. ATTENDEE: Janice.

24 MR. TOMPKINS: . . . Janice. They weren't  
25 doing it.

1 MR. CAMPBELL: I'm sorry.

2 MR. TOMPKINS: Thank you. Given time in the  
3 historical piece.

4 MS. L. LOWMAN: Okay. I -- I can clarify that.

5 I do not know if the San Francisco Water  
6 Department was monitoring for it at that time. However,  
7 because it was a licensed operation, the Shipyard or  
8 NRDL were required to keep records of those, and then  
9 we're still trying to find that -- those releases.

10 And the Atomic Energy Commission visited them  
11 probably, I would guess, on an annual basis. I do not  
12 know that for sure. And they would have re- -- looked  
13 at every one of those releases and, you know, gone over  
14 if -- they have inspections all the time, the NRC does,  
15 the AEC did.

16 So I would assume that there was monitoring  
17 done by the AEC on the releases. I can't address San  
18 Francisco Water Department.

19 MR. R. LOWMAN: You have to keep records. This  
20 is true then, and it's true today by the Nuclear  
21 Regulatory Commission, the follow-on of the AEC.

22 You have to keep records of what you have  
23 disposed, the -- the quantity of what you have disposed.  
24 You have to keep records of the volume of fluid or water  
25 that's passing through that system that you discharge to

1 that system.

2 And then that is, as she said, they were

3 annually inspected. They were broad-scope licensing --

4 they were annually inspected. And that would be one of

5 the first things an inspector would be looking at.

6 MR. TOMPKINS: I'm just relaying --

7 MR. CAMPBELL: Excuse me.

8 MR. TOMPKINS: -- what my colleague --

9 MR. CAMPBELL: Excuse me.

10 MR. TOMPKINS: -- referred to.

11 MR. CAMPBELL: Let's have point of order.

12 MR. TOMPKINS: Thank you.

13 MR. CAMPBELL: I thought I heard a motion to

14 have one more question answered.

15 MS. HARRISON: The last question on the -- on

16 the list, on the first list.

17 MR. CAMPBELL: Okay.

18 Is there a second? Discussion?

19 MR. TOMPKINS: Call for question.

20 MR. CAMPBELL: Call for the question?

21 All in favor?

22 THE BOARD: Aye.

23 MR. CAMPBELL: Any objections? Any

24 abstentions?

25 (No verbal response elicited.)

1 MR. CAMPBELL: Thank you.  
2 So would you answer that one more question.  
3 MS. L. LOWMAN: The last quest- --  
4 MR. CAMPBELL: I'm sorry.  
5 MS. PETERSON: She had asked about time  
6 constraints.  
7 MR. CAMPBELL: Pardon me?  
8 MS. BUSHNELL: Well, I'd like to make a motion.  
9 I'd like to know if we can't possibly end this by 8:45.  
10 MR. MACH: And have the co-chair elections and  
11 then --?  
12 MS. BUSHNELL: And that.  
13 MR. TOMPKINS: And the --?  
14 MR. MACH: I'm game.  
15 MR. CAMPBELL: We have a motion. Do we have a  
16 second on that?  
17 MR. MANUEL: I'd like to make an amendment, if  
18 possible.  
19 MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. What's your amendment?  
20 MR. MANUEL: Nine o'clock. In all fairness, I  
21 think that there's some other issues here that people  
22 would like to address.  
23 MR. PAUL: Well, I think they're just talking  
24 about the question period, J. R.  
25 MS. PETERSON: No.

1 MR. MANUEL: I don't think so because --  
2 MS. PETERSON: She's talking about time --  
3 ATTENDEE: -- entirely.  
4 MS. PETERSON: She's talking about --  
5 MS. BUSHNELL: -- entirely.  
6 MR. PAUL: Oh.  
7 MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. Do we have a second?  
8 J. R.?  
9 MR. TOMPKINS: This is -- this is a amendment  
10 friendly to the motion maker.  
11 Barbara, is that friendly to your -- J. R.'s  
12 amendment, is it friendly to you? Do you accept it? Do  
13 you reject it? Since it's your motion.  
14 MS. BUSHNELL: Well, I -- I just -- my motion  
15 is that we end this by 8:45 and include the elections.  
16 MR. TOMPKINS: So J. R.'s suggestion is not  
17 acceptable?  
18 MS. BUSHNELL: No.  
19 MR. TOMPKINS: Then it dies.  
20 MS. BUSHNELL: Well --  
21 MR. TOMPKINS: She doesn't want to --  
22 MR. MANUEL: Then I'd like to make a motion,  
23 then, that we extend this to 9 o'clock, which gives us  
24 thirty-five minutes to -- so that we can conclude our  
25 business and the community have an opportunity to

1 participate. I think 8:30, 8:45 is too soon.

2 MR. TOMPKINS: There wasn't -- there was no

3 second. Did someone second Barbara's motion?

4 MR. MANUEL: No.

5 MS. PETERSON: No. You say it died. And that

6 meant that it died.

7 MR. TOMPKINS: No, ma'am. Only his amendment.

8 MR. CAMPBELL: No. We did -- we did call for a

9 second on her motion.

10 MR. TOMPKINS: Did you get a second?

11 MS. PETERSON: I -- then the amendment, and

12 then he said, "Oh, it died."

13 MR. TOMPKINS: No, ma'am.

14 MS. HARRISON: We're talking about --

15 MR. CAMPBELL: Point of order, please.

16 MR. TOMPKINS: His amendment dies.

17 MR. CAMPBELL: Point of order, please.

18 MR. TOMPKINS: That's all.

19 MR. CAMPBELL: Point of order, please.

20 We -- Some -- We asked for a second on it.

21 Nobody seconded it at that point.

22 Okay. Now, J. R. has made a motion on the

23 floor. Do we have a second on that to move it to

24 9:00 --

25 MR. TOMPKINS: I'll second.

1 MR. CAMPBELL: -- 9 o'clock?  
2 MR. TOMPKINS: I'll second.  
3 MR. CAMPBELL: You have a second?  
4 Discussion?  
5 MS. HARRISON: I will -- I will second the --  
6 MR. TOMPKINS: It's already been seconded.  
7 MS. HARRISON: Oh, it's already been seconded?  
8 Well, then, I will have a little comment on that. I'm  
9 willing to end this at 9 o'clock as long as it is agreed  
10 to that the remainder of this agenda is picked up with  
11 the first half of the next meeting without interruption.  
12 MR. MANUEL: I second that.  
13 MS. PETERSON: You can second it all day long.  
14 It's not happening.  
15 MR. TOMPKINS: I'm not going to -- I ain't  
16 going to --  
17 MS. HARRISON: Was there a problem with my --?  
18 I mean -- Excuse me.  
19 MR. CAMPBELL: Point of order.  
20 MS. HARRISON: Was there a problem with what I  
21 said? I'm sorry.  
22 MR. RATCLIFF: You can always extend it again  
23 if we don't have enough time. Move on.  
24 MS. WASHINGTON: Let's start at the beginning,  
25 then. Is that what you said?

1 MR. TOMPKINS: I call --

2 MR. CAMPBELL: Call for question?

3 MR. TOMPKINS: I call for question.

4 MR. PAUL: Call for the question.

5 MR. CAMPBELL: Call for the question?

6 MR. PAUL: I call for the question.

7 MR. CAMPBELL: All in favor?

8 THE BOARD: Aye.

9 MR. TOMPKINS: Nine o'clock.

10 MR. CAMPBELL: Against? Abstaining?

11 (No verbal response elicited.)

12 MR. CAMPBELL: Motion carries. Thank you.

13 Please continue the last question.

14 Please, because of the amount of time, let's

15 all be conscious that that question has to be heard, and

16 the responses have to be fairly brief.

17 MS. L. LOWMAN: Okay.

18 Question 18: "Was there a physical scan of

19 Hunters Point Naval Shipyard meeting the MARSSIM

20 standard, and following the MARLAP manual?"

21 Answer:

22 The Multi-Agency Radiological Survey

23 and Site Investigation Manual, or MARSSIM, was

24 issued in December 1997. MARSSIM

25 recommendations for performing surveys have



1       been used since its issuance. The Multi-Agency  
2       Radiological Laboratory Analytical Protocols,  
3       or MARLAP, Manual has not been published. All  
4       past radiological surveys are being reviewed as  
5       part of the Historical Radiological Assessment  
6       research.

7             And in amplification, all previous surveys, any  
8 of previous work, is being reviewed to ensure that it  
9 meets MARSSIM standards today.

10            So -- and the MARSSIM itself called for a  
11 historical site assessment. A Historical Radiological  
12 Assessment is being done in the manner of the historical  
13 site assessment called for by the MARSSIM, and anything  
14 that we find there will be investigated per the MARSSIM.

15            MR. CAMPBELL: Any questions?

16            MR. TOMPKINS: One question. Triple A's -- in  
17 terms of the -- would that be included in this review?

18            MS. L. LOWMAN: Again, we will have to see if  
19 we can get the information and -- and --

20            MR. TOMPKINS: Hopefully, you will.

21            MS. L. LOWMAN: -- and see what we can do for  
22 that.

23            MR. TOMPKINS: Thank you.

24            MR. CAMPBELL: Would you identify yourself,  
25 please.

1 MS. ASHER: My name is Lani Asher. I'm an  
2 artist at the Shipyard, and I just want it on the record  
3 that the methodology that the Navy uses using historical  
4 records as a way to go and look for things doesn't seem  
5 systematic to me. While I know it's how it's done and  
6 it's perfectly legal, I think that it is not acceptable.

7 MR. CAMPBELL: Is there any other questions?  
8 Thank you.

9 Oh, I'm sorry. Ray Tompkins? Please.

10 MR. TOMPKINS: What would you ask them to do in  
11 addition? What's an alternative?

12 MS. ASHER: Me?

13 MR. TOMPKINS: Yeah.

14 MS. ASHER: Oh. Well, I would request that the  
15 Navy do a complete radiological survey of Hunters Point  
16 Shipyard in a grid fashion, the entire yard.

17 MR. TOMPKINS: Thank you.

18 MR. CAMPBELL: Thank you.

19 Is it specifically on the point, or is it on  
20 another point?

21 MS. PETERSON: Oh, let him speak.

22 MR. MANUEL: He's the moderator. Let him do  
23 his job.

24 MR. CAMPBELL: We got less than half an hour.

25 MR. ROSS: The Navy is getting dumped on here,

1 because, like I said, they stepped up to the podium  
2 here.

3 MR. CAMPBELL: Right.

4 MR. ROSS: They're offering opportunities for  
5 us.

6 My problem, like I said, is with the  
7 community-based organization that says they're providing  
8 the service for -- for members of the community. It's a  
9 lot of nepotism going on in the community.

10 Those people sitting on the Board, the RAB, I'd  
11 like to know when you going to institute a -- a -- a --  
12 a time for -- for -- for job training and initiation for  
13 us.

14 MR. CAMPBELL: It's being addressed in the  
15 Economic Subcommittee. That gentleman there, I believe,  
16 is the chair, Jesse Mason, and --

17 MS. PETERSON: Raise your hand, Jesse.

18 MR. MASON: (Raises his hand.)

19 MR. CAMPBELL: And so et cetera.

20 MS. PETERSON: Get with --

21 MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah.

22 MS. PETERSON: -- Mr. Mason --

23 MR. CAMPBELL: Thank you.

24 MS. PETERSON: -- afterwards.

25 MR. ROSS: Okay.

1           MR. CAMPBELL:  Marie Harrison --

2           MS. HARRISON:  Just --

3           MR. CAMPBELL:  -- please?

4           MS. HARRISON:  Just as a point of clarifica- --

5 a point of clarification, it sounds like to me in

6 particular you have a -- an issue with job training

7 and -- and maybe one particular agency.

8           So maybe -- I was going to suggest that maybe

9 you and Jesse could sit down and really get at this,

10 because that's his -- that's what he undertook, to make

11 sure that the jobs and economic development of -- of the

12 Shipyard, along with what happens in the community with

13 community folks being hired, that he deals with that;

14 and he knows that he has the support of the entire Board

15 to make sure that this happens.

16           MR. CAMPBELL:  Thank you, Marie.

17           MS. HARRISON:  So if you two can get together

18 at some point . . .

19           MR. CAMPBELL:  Thank you, Marie.

20           I'd like to say thank you for the group from

21 RASO.  Thank you.

22           (Applaud.)

23           MR. CAMPBELL:  Okay.  There was going to be a

24 brief discussion by the -- I believe the -- our RAB

25 Bylaws & Membership Subcommittee report.  There's going

1 to be some --

2 MS. FOX: We kind of took care of it. We  
3 passed this around. We have a bylaws membership meeting  
4 next Tuesday night, 7:00 p.m., at 911 Innes Avenue; and  
5 there are some -- we'll have to organize our little  
6 slots, but it's pretty close. It actually worked out  
7 really well.

8 MR. CAMPBELL: Okay.

9 MS. FOX: And that's it. Everything else is in  
10 the minutes. You already got it all. Twenty-eight.

11 MS. PETERSON: 7:00 p.m.

12 MR. CAMPBELL: Now, I believe there was some  
13 discu- -- well, we had a discussion on the elections  
14 being moved to another period of time. Is there  
15 something I'm missing here?

16 MS. FOX: Bylaws --

17 MR. CAMPBELL: Oh.

18 MS. FOX: -- and then RAB co-chair election.

19 MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. The revised bylaw review,  
20 approval? Vote.

21 MR. TOMPKINS: Does the committee  
22 present . . . ?

23 MR. MASON: There is a hand over here.

24 MS. PETERSON: Oka- -- All right. Everyone  
25 should have gotten a copy of this, the bylaws. It says

1 at the top in red: "Draft Revision: All new changes  
2 are in red, and deletes are in Blue, and Italicized.  
3 8.3.01." If it's in black, we didn't touch it.

4 And down at the bottom, it will say it was  
5 adopted 7/24/96. So anything that is in black was  
6 adopted 7/24/96. We didn't change it. So it's  
7 self-explanatory.

8 MS. FOX: Maybe we can do -- if people have  
9 questions, you can, you know, go through the first  
10 right -- I mean, there's not really that many changes.

11 MR. CAMPBELL: Dr. Sumchai?

12 DR. SUMCHAI: The bylaws cannot be accepted as  
13 revised for the very simple reason that -- I was  
14 actually a little disappointed in the scholarship with  
15 which you guys applied your recommended revisions,  
16 because it was pretty clear that you hadn't read the --  
17 the bylaws and -- and made some proposed revisions that  
18 are actually not legal.

19 You -- Let's see, under 6 of the bylaws, it  
20 says: "Open and Public Meetings. All meetings of the  
21 RAB shall be open and public, and all persons shall be  
22 permitted to attend any meeting of the RAB or its  
23 sub-committees, including special meetings."

24 And then under 16 you say:

25 Subcommittees shall be established by

1 a vote of the RAB. Each sub-committee shall  
2 elect a subcommittee chairperson, who shall be  
3 a RAB representative. Members of the public  
4 may sit on and participate in any subcommittee,  
5 except the Membership/Bylaws Committee that  
6 should be made up by RAB community members  
7 only.

8 Obviously, you cannot have 6 and 16 at the same  
9 time. Sixteen violates the spirit of the RAB, and it  
10 cannot be accepted as a revision, certainly in a  
11 document that also has No. 6.

12 MS. FOX: Why don't you make a motion to  
13 delete --? I mean --

14 MS. PETERSON: I'd like to speak to that.

15 The reason that we had -- and like I said,  
16 seven people came up with that -- is because we were  
17 looking at people's applications, and we felt maybe it  
18 would not be appropriate for the community at large to  
19 be voting on accepting people's applications when they  
20 are not members. So that's the reason it said,  
21 "except."

22 DR. SUMCHAI: I'm not sure that you heard me.

23 MS. PETERSON: Oh --

24 DR. SUMCHAI: If you look --

25 MS. PETERSON: -- I heard you --

1 DR. SUMCHAI: -- under 6 --

2 MS. PETERSON: -- perfectly. I'm not sure you

3 heard me.

4 DR. SUMCHAI: No. If you look at 6, you

5 contradicted in your exclusion what is a basic policy of

6 the RAB. "All meetings of the RAB shall be open and

7 public . . . ." It doesn't matter what your reason was.

8 You violated an existing --

9 MS. PETERSON: Okay. Then maybe we need --

10 MR. CAMPBELL: Excuse me.

11 MS. PETERSON: -- to add --

12 MR. CAMPBELL: Pardon me.

13 MS. PETERSON: -- "RAB --"

14 MR. CAMPBELL: Point of order, please.

15 Jill?

16 MS. FOX: Well, I think you make a fine point,

17 and I'd like to -- I'd like to make a motion that we

18 undo the -- the change on No. 16 for the time being. We

19 can throw it back to the committee, the change on 16

20 only. Just put a period where it says "in any

21 subcommittee," period; delete the red for now. These

22 are fluid documents.

23 So I make a motion that we undo the change on

24 16.

25 MR. CAMPBELL: Lynne Brown and then Ray



1 Tompkins.

2 MR. TOMPKINS: Thank you.

3 MR. BROWN: Yeah.

4 MS. PIERCE: If you have a motion, you have to  
5 second.

6 MR. BROWN: Okay. For one thing, you can't  
7 make a motion, just for one thing. What I would like to  
8 do is make a motion to delay while the community members  
9 review this, you know.

10 MS. PETERSON: You ge- --  
11 You got a motion on the floor.

12 MR. CAMPBELL: Okay, yeah.

13 MS. ESPINOZA: I'll second it.

14 MR. CAMPBELL: Second?

15 MR. TOMPKINS: Then it's discussion.

16 MS. FOX: We can change other things too.

17 MS. ATTENDEE: Discussion.

18 MR. CAMPBELL: Discussion?

19 MS. HARRISON: Excuse me, folks. It appears to  
20 me that everyone has not had an opportunity to review  
21 this document in -- in detail; and in lieu of the fact  
22 that we are -- we are short of time now, maybe what  
23 needs to happen is that we need to take Lynne's motion  
24 under advisement and put it to the floor that we be  
25 allowed to take it home and review it and then come back

1 and set it on the next agenda item to be dealt with  
2 or -- unless somebody has something better.

3 MR. TOMPKINS: Yeah. On the amendment, that we  
4 can as Board under the current bylaws in place call for  
5 special -- that's what my motion was for -- special  
6 meetings to deal with the bylaws, 'cause it is complex  
7 and that as -- everybody should thoroughly read and  
8 understand what -- these policies or changes and how it  
9 affect the Board and how we function.

10 MS. HARRISON: Okay.

11 MR. TOMPKINS: And I would rather that we have  
12 one meeting devoted to really -- rather than being under  
13 the crucible of time, to really look at and examine and  
14 maybe feel how people were thinking within the  
15 subcommittee, what their thoughts, and how maybe it can  
16 be rephrase -- re- --

17 MS. ATTENDEE: Rephrased.

18 MR. TOMPKINS: Time, senior moment -- and --

19 MR. BROWN: Motion?

20 MR. CAMPBELL: Excuse me.

21 MR. TOMPKINS: Special meeting. Is that okay?

22 MS. HARRISON: Then --

23 MR. CAMPBELL: I'm sorry. Jesse Mason?

24 MS. HARRISON: I will -- oh, sorry.

25 MR. MASON: Folks, I'd like to say something,

1 you know. And I can agree with Ray on having a special  
2 meeting, but I don't think that we should take up the  
3 RAB's time, you know, next month to do that.

4 I think if we're going to have a special  
5 meeting, we need to set that special meeting for the RAB  
6 members itself --

7 MS. HARRISON: Okay.

8 MR. MASON: -- you know, to sit down and talk  
9 about, you know, the bylaws and come up with some kind  
10 of agreement.

11 But I think the time that we have right here,  
12 you know, in dealing with the RAB is something that we  
13 should be concerned with as far as the community is  
14 concerned.

15 MS. BROWN: Right.

16 MR. MASON: If we want to make up bylaws, let's  
17 do it on our time. The RAB meetings are for community  
18 concerns.

19 MS. HARRISON: Then since it was my motion,  
20 then I -- I will --

21 MS. PETERSON: There's a motion already on the  
22 floor.

23 MS. ATTENDEE: There's a motion already.

24 MR. CAMPBELL: There -- There's several  
25 motions out here.

1           Barbara?

2           MS. BUSHNELL:  There are basically five changes  
3 to the existing bylaws in blue, and there's about seven  
4 of them or, you know, some of them are just verbal  
5 changes.  But substantial changes, there's, well,  
6 basically 1 and 12; and we -- if we don't deal with  
7 No. 16 now, we're talking about 11 different changes.

8           And they were mailed out.  I've had mine for  
9 two weeks.

10          MR. CAMPBELL:  Jesse?

11          MS. BUSHNELL:  So I don't -- I guess I'm  
12 unclear if people didn't get these in the mail, chose  
13 not to read them.  And I thought that was the purpose of  
14 the subcommittee, that we sort of take the pressure off  
15 the full board and try to deal with --

16          MR. CAMPBELL:  Excuse me.  Point of order.

17          MS. BUSHNELL:  -- so that we get them to you  
18 and you can study them.

19          And in deference to your subcommittee, you --  
20 in other words, we expect you, as member of your  
21 subcommittee, to come back and decide what we do and --  
22 and vice versa.

23          So if you've chosen not to deal with this when  
24 you've been given plenty of time, I don't understand.

25          MR. CAMPBELL:  Dorothy?

1 MS. PETERSON: My sentiments exactly. That's  
2 why we have subcommittees. And we're bringing it back  
3 to the full board. That's why -- I mean, some of us  
4 really rush to make sure that people have time to look  
5 over this.

6 And I also agree that this is not the place for  
7 it. We have a Bylaws & Membership Subcommittee. We  
8 have five subcommittees, and they are supposed to be  
9 dealt with in those committees. We don't need a special  
10 RAB meeting to deal with this. We come to the  
11 subcommittee meeting.

12 MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. Marie Harrison and  
13 then . . .

14 MS. HARRISON: Two points. One, one for my own  
15 point of clarification. I didn't receive it, but  
16 there's a lot of stuff I didn't receive until tonight.  
17 Wasn't question of whether or not it got sent out. I  
18 simply did not receive it.

19 Two, my point is: I think I agree with Jesse  
20 that this is -- has really taken up a lot of time, and I  
21 personally would like to get to some other issues  
22 myself.

23 So perhaps what should happen is that when this  
24 subcommittee meets, that maybe what should happen that  
25 some of us will show up at that subcommittee meeting to

1 make sure that our voices or their voices are being  
2 heard about these changes. It s- -- should not really  
3 be a problem.

4 MR. CAMPBELL: We have --

5 MS. PETERSON: It isn't.

6 MR. CAMPBELL: We have approximately seventeen  
7 minutes left. I'd like to make these questions very  
8 brief. J. R. Manuel, Dr. Sumchai, and Jim Rodriguez.

9 MR. MANUEL: Very briefly, most organizations  
10 have the decision-making regarding bylaws and  
11 membership, et cetera, done by the members. Now, as  
12 someone said -- what Jesse said earlier, the reasons for  
13 this is for the benefit of the community. And I believe  
14 people who are community residents should be considered  
15 first and foremost in the formulation of the policies  
16 that the community is going to be affected by.

17 People who live outside the community, I feel,  
18 should be secondary in that sense, outside of the entire  
19 board, which is made up of people inside and outside the  
20 community.

21 So I -- you know, I think it should be tabled,  
22 and maybe we can have another meeting or forum or  
23 whatever.

24 MS. HARRISON: You guys have a meeting --

25 MR. CAMPBELL: Excuse me.

1 MS. HARRISON: I'm sorry.

2 MR. CAMPBELL: Point of order --

3 DR. SUMCHAI: I would --

4 MR. CAMPBELL: -- please.

5 Dr. Sumchai?

6 DR. SUMCHAI: -- agree with having a more

7 thorough discussion at the level of the subcommittee.

8 I do want to point out that there are multiple

9 problems with these bylaws: There are by- -- There are

10 grammatical errors in 17 "written notice of any (the)

11 amendment -- amendments." There is ambiguity around the

12 election of the co-chair. There was five areas in these

13 bylaws that need to be looked at --

14 MS. PETERSON: Blue are deletions.

15 DR. SUMCHAI: -- with -- that need to be looked

16 at with some scrutiny.

17 MS. PETERSON: Blue are deletions.

18 MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. Jim Rodriguez and then

19 Richard Mach.

20 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. I think we are all on

21 the same page with regard to having to look at this more

22 closely.

23 My suggestion is: We go back -- We vote on it

24 in its entirety. We cannot line-item veto this and

25 expect it to pass. But what we can do is send it back

1 to committee -- vote on it tonight, say yes, no; and if  
2 we say no, we send it back to committee, have it  
3 revised, and make any adjustments made with  
4 recommendations by the rest of the Board. They bring it  
5 back to the full Board, and then we vote on it again.

6           That's the way I think would be the best way to  
7 formulate this.

8           MR. CAMPBELL: Richard?

9           MR. RODRIGUEZ: That's the way it's supposed to  
10 be.

11          MS. PETERSON: That's the way it's supposed to  
12 be.

13          MR. MACH: I just want to point out real quick  
14 that you guys can deal with this how you want, whether  
15 you vote on it now or you vote on it at another RAB  
16 meeting or in the subcommittee.

17          The only thing that I need to say from a Navy  
18 standpoint is: Before it's finalized -- and I have not  
19 been at the subcommittee meetings, and I'm -- probably  
20 not going to attend the one next week, unless I'm asked  
21 to -- I just need to be able to look at it one time and  
22 make sure that it meets Navy and EPA policy. That's the  
23 only thing that I need to look at. So I just want to  
24 make sure that's clear.

25          MR. CAMPBELL: So basically, you're saying you



1 haven't seen it?

2 MR. MACH: No. I have seen it.

3 MR. CAMPBELL: Okay.

4 MR. MACH: I saw it. There was no -- no

5 changes that were made that -- that violated any of the

6 Navy or EPA policies. And so I had the contractor send

7 it out on behalf of the subcommittee.

8 MR. TOMPKINS: Don't we have a motion on the

9 floor from Marie Harrison earlier?

10 MS. FOX: No. We have the one to delete the

11 16. I can --

12 MR. TOMPKINS: Right.

13 MS. FOX: -- withdraw that motion.

14 MR. CAMPBELL: Okay.

15 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. Can I make a motion,

16 then?

17 MR. CAMPBELL: Yes.

18 MR. RODRIGUEZ: I'd like to make a motion we

19 either accept the bylaws that was recommended by the

20 subcommittee or reject it and send it back to committee

21 for further review.

22 MR. BROWN: Right.

23 MR. MANUEL: I second that.

24 MR. MASON: Rejection?

25 MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. Discussion?

1 MS. PETERSON: I have --  
2 MR. TOMPKINS: I have one. I'm sorry.  
3 Dorothy? Dorothy.  
4 MR. MANUEL: You can't do either/or.  
5 MS. FOX: Let's call for the vote.  
6 MR. MANUEL: Just the vote. Just the vote.  
7 Just vote on it.  
8 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Just vote on this. Thank you.  
9 MS. PETERSON: Are we --?  
10 MR. RODRIGUEZ: And then second.  
11 MR. MANUEL: Just second.  
12 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Accept it or reject it.  
13 MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. Any discussion?  
14 MS. SHIRLEY: Well, I want a clarification. So  
15 we're -- the question is: Do we accept the bylaws as  
16 amended? Yes or no.  
17 MR. TOMPKINS: Right now, this document in  
18 front.  
19 MR. PAUL: Or do we send it back to  
20 subcommittee.  
21 MR. MACH: All right.  
22 MR. CAMPBELL: All right. Is everybody clear  
23 on that? Okay.  
24 MS. HARRISON: Yes means we accept it. No  
25 means we don't. Can we get on with it?

1 MS. FOX: Automatically go back to --  
2 MS. HARRISON: May we do this, then, please.  
3 MS. ATTENDEE: I call for the question.  
4 MR. TOMPKINS: Call. Take a vote.  
5 MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah. All in favor?  
6 THE BOARD: Aye  
7 MR. CAMPBELL: Against?  
8 MR. MACH: We need hands.  
9 THE BOARD: Nay.  
10 MR. CAMPBELL: The nays have it.  
11 MS. PETERSON: And I think --  
12 MR. MACH: Can we do it again?  
13 MR. MASON: The "Nay" means we don't accept it.  
14 MS. PETERSON: No. The question was -- No.  
15 The -- the question was --  
16 MS. FOX: Then I voted wrong.  
17 MR. PAUL: Restate the question.  
18 MS. HARRISON: Okay. Can we just restate  
19 the --? Come on, you guys. We're burning up time here.  
20 We need to get out of here.  
21 MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. Restate the motion,  
22 please.  
23 MS. HARRISON: Okay. The motion was made that  
24 we either accept the -- the bylaws --  
25 MS. FOX: No. "Yes means . . . ." "Yes

1 means . . . ."

2 MS. HARRISON: No, no. Forget that. Yes

3 means --

4 MR. RODRIGUEZ: I make a motion that we vote on

5 the bylaws whether we choose to accept or reject.

6 MS. HARRISON: Okay.

7 MR. RODRIGUEZ: That we vote on the bylaws.

8 That's it, period. I make a motion that we accept the

9 bylaws --

10 MS. PIERCE: There you go.

11 MR. RODRIGUEZ: -- as stated.

12 MS. PIERCE: There you go.

13 MR. ATTENDEE: Yes.

14 MR. TOMPKINS: As stated.

15 MS. HARRISON: So no means no.

16 MR. CAMPBELL: Okay.

17 ATTENDEES: Right.

18 MS. ATTENDEE: But --

19 MR. RODRIGUEZ: No means no. Yes means yes.

20 MR. ATTENDEE: Thank you.

21 MR. ATTENDEE: Good.

22 MR. CAMPBELL: All --

23 MS. RATCLIFF: Okay. No one --

24 MR. MANUEL: Ask for hands both ways now. Not

25 just hands one way.

1           MR. CAMPBELL: Point of order. Okay. I call  
2 for the question: All in favor, please?  
3           MR. MANUEL: Raise your hands and say yes --  
4           MR. MACH: Raise your hands.  
5           MR. MANUEL: -- accepting as it is.  
6           MR. CAMPBELL: Right.  
7           MR. MACH: One --  
8           MR. RATCLIFF: Two.  
9           MR. MACH: -- two, three.  
10          So three people are saying they accept the  
11 bylaws as written.  
12          MR. PAUL: Yes.  
13          MR. CAMPBELL: Right.  
14          All those against?  
15          MR. MASON: Yes.  
16          MR. MANUEL: Millions.  
17          MR. CAMPBELL: It looks like the motion  
18 carries.  
19          MS. HARRISON: So they go back to . . . ?  
20          MR. ATTENDEE: It goes back to committee.  
21          MS. HARRISON: So they go back to committee.  
22 Yea.  
23          MR. CAMPBELL: Excuse me. Point of order.  
24 Point of order.  
25          MS. FOX: I think it's going to be too big for

1 my house. We have to find a place. Can we announce  
2 that then?

3 MR. CAMPBELL: Yes?

4 MR. PAUL: Would it be useful to have a  
5 discussion of recommendations for the subcommittee by  
6 the entire RAB?

7 MS. FOX: No. You can come to the  
8 subcommittee.

9 MR. MACH: No. We won't get the elections done  
10 either.

11 MS. FOX: Which is at who --

12 MR. CAMPBELL: We are running on -- we're  
13 running on the 9 o'clock deadline, and we're trying to  
14 move as rapidly as possible.

15 RAB co-chair elections?

16 MS. HARRISON: Question.

17 MR. CAMPBELL: Yes.

18 MS. HARRISON: Before we elect a RAB co-chair,  
19 have we decided whether or not we are going to elect one  
20 co-chair or two co-chairs? And until we make that  
21 decision, I don't see the point in doing that either.

22 MR. MACH: Well, can I just offer something? I  
23 know that -- I mean, last year Dorothy ran unopposed,  
24 and then Caroline was added back in February or March  
25 based on a vote of -- of the RAB members.

1           In the two years that I've been here, even when  
2 there have been more than one RAB co-chair elected,  
3 there was still -- you still cast one vote, and then it  
4 was just a majority win is the way I saw it.

5           Now, I have received five, six -- six write-in  
6 ballots that have been --

7           MR. CAMPBELL: I got another one right here.

8           MR. MACH: -- plus one that was handed to me  
9 today, plus that one right there.

10          Now, everyone -- I haven't opened this one yet,  
11 but everyone has voted for one member with the exception  
12 of one person who voted for two. You guys need to  
13 decide what to do about that.

14          MR. BROWN: I haven't voted.

15          MR. MACH: No. The people who I have received  
16 it from --

17          MR. BROWN: Oh, okay.

18          MR. PAUL: He's got six write-in ballots.

19          MR. MACH: -- be -- because if the bylaws, as  
20 written in '96, as still written in March of this year,  
21 said that if you are unable to attend the RAB meeting,  
22 you can send in your proxy through the Navy co-chair.

23          Now, I have them all here, and I was going to  
24 propose that Dorothy as the outgoing co-chair and I do  
25 the counting just so that there's no -- no confusion.

1 Or I would -- I would gladly --

2 MR. TOMPKINS: Two co-chairs.

3 MR. CAMPBELL: Excuse me. Dorothy --

4 MR. TOMPKINS: Carol- --

5 MR. CAMPBELL: -- then J. R. Manuel.

6 MR. TOMPKINS: That's part of the problem.

7 MR. MACH: She -- Ray?

8 MR. TOMPKINS: Yes, sir.

9 MR. MACH: I -- I offered only Dorothy as the  
10 outgoing. I was going to offer Caroline, but she's  
11 running this year. Dorothy is not running this year.  
12 So that was the only reason I picked Dorothy, because  
13 she's outgoing.

14 MR. RATCLIFF: She's a member of the community  
15 too.

16 MS. PETERSON: Excuse me. It would be  
17 unfair --

18 MR. ATTENDEE: Excuse me.

19 MS. HARRISON: -- if you already have eight  
20 votes who voted one, for one person, to give everybody  
21 else a two shot. So that answers that.

22 DR. SUMCHAI: No, it doesn't answer that  
23 because I voted for two people when he has my vote. So  
24 that --

25 MS. PETERSON: But you still have the



1 majority --

2 DR. SUMCHAI: -- also is disqualifying.

3 MS. PETERSON: You still have the majority of

4 the people who read the instructions and voted for one

5 person.

6 MS. FOX: Can we take the two top vote getters?

7 MR. CAMPBELL: J. R. Manuel, you were next.

8 Let's have that --

9 MR. MANUEL: I -- I -- I feel that we should go

10 ahead and go forward with the vote. I think the

11 majority should decide whoever this co-chair is, and

12 we're stuck with that, for good or for bad. And I'm not

13 saying it's going to be bad. I'm just saying I think we

14 should keep the process going, because we noticed it as

15 such. Community's here. And I think it should

16 continue.

17 MR. CAMPBELL: Ray? And then Don.

18 MR. TOMPKINS: No on two -- several principles:

19 That even in your bylaws, it -- if they are present,

20 then they can't be counted.

21 If there's confusion and we were running and it

22 wasn't clarified and you checked the minutes in terms of

23 about whether or not there was a single candidate or

24 there were two chairs on this and a historical testimony

25 that at one time there were three -- it wasn't said

1 whether they want four, wasn't said whether they were  
2 elected or not. There were multiple -- there's a  
3 historical precedent there were multiple chairs of this  
4 board.

5 Now, all of a sudden I receive something in the  
6 mail telling me to vote for one candidate. No. You  
7 don't change it in the middle of the game and send me a  
8 ballot and say, "Vote."

9 DR. SUMCHAI: Really.

10 MR. TOMPKINS: If we are going to have a  
11 discussion, let's make the decision one way or the other  
12 here. Openly publicly raise your hands and say who it  
13 is. The way the bylaws are written --

14 MS. PETERSON: I vote for it. I --

15 MR. TOMPKINS: Excuse me. I'm not finished.

16 MR. CAMPBELL: Point of order.

17 MS. HARRISON: Point of order.

18 MR. TOMPKINS: I'm real respectful.

19 MR. CAMPBELL: Don Paul next.

20 MR. TOMPKINS: No. I'm still -- I'm not  
21 finished. I haven't yield the floor.

22 And that you cannot sit up here and change  
23 rules of the game before the vote.

24 MR. RATCLIFF: You're right.

25 MR. TOMPKINS: You don't do it. You in

1 violation of your own bylaws, of just ethics.

2           Let us decide and make it clear and agreed upon  
3 is there a dual chairship in terms of community  
4 representation, because in the bylaws it has it plural.  
5 It does not specify the numbers on the chairship and  
6 given past historical precedent of four chairs here.

7           You don't say arbitrarily send the ballot and  
8 say one and make your choice. That wasn't the way it  
9 was talked about.

10           The candidates still need to speak, as I was  
11 informed, about that in terms of what they plan to do  
12 for us, how they think they can improve the situation  
13 here. I'd like to hear what they have to say about the  
14 situation.

15           And again, I think we need to address what you  
16 point out, whether we're voting for one, two, or what.  
17 But don't throw something on me at the last second and  
18 say choose one or the highest.

19           I agree we may disagree, but let's take a vote  
20 and agree upon it, and then we go with it; but we  
21 discuss it and vote on it publicly.

22           MR. PAUL: I move that the Board votes whether  
23 it wants to adopt a two-chair mode, and then the  
24 candidates announce themselves and speak for one minute  
25 to the Board, and then we vote.

1 MS. PETERSON: You can't do that because some  
2 of your can- -- your candidates are gone. Now, if we  
3 had done this in the beginning when everybody was here,  
4 then that would have been fair.

5 But to address the issue that four people were  
6 not elected, I -- I beg to differ with you. Since I was  
7 one of the four candidates running, four people were  
8 elected. We were voted on. You were not attending at  
9 that time when we were at 1400 Evans, but there was a  
10 vote.

11 MR. TOMPKINS: But it's still plural, and you  
12 still held office.

13 MS. PETERSON: Four people were voted on, and  
14 the one person who won said they could not do it all by  
15 themselves until it was decided at that meeting. Why  
16 doesn't everybody just share it?

17 MR. CAMPBELL: Marie Harrison.

18 MS. HARRISON: We have a motion on the floor.  
19 Can we just vote on the motion and get through with  
20 this? Because I'm tired.

21 MR. CAMPBELL: Call for second.

22 MS. HARRISON: I'm -- I second the motion  
23 that's on the floor now that we vote on whether or not  
24 we want plural representation from the floor, and let's  
25 just -- and then we'll vote on the candidates at a

1 further date when everybody is here.

2 MR. TOMPKINS: I think that would be fair.

3 MR. ATTENDEE: Yeah.

4 MR. MACH: Ray? I'm not -- I'm not going to  
5 argue. If you guys want one co-chair, two co-chairs, I  
6 mean that -- that's your prerogative.

7 But I do want to clarify for the record that  
8 the -- the bylaws that were written in '96, which I have  
9 the original copy of, the ones that were amended in  
10 May -- in March of this past year and this one have  
11 never said more than one co-chair. Section 14 has  
12 always -- has always said, "Election --"

13 MS. PETERSON: One --

14 MR. MACH: "-- of Community Co-Chair."

15 MR. PAUL: One co-chair.

16 MR. MACH: "The community co-chair shall  
17 serve . . . ."

18 The only time the co-chairs is plural is in  
19 Section 15 where it says, "Duties of Navy and Community  
20 Co-Chairs." That means with above being one co-chair  
21 from the community that 15 is implying that the plural  
22 "co-chairs" is the Navy and community co-chair together  
23 making two co-chairs. And that is the only time it has  
24 ever been in there.

25 If you guys choose to change that, that is your

1 prerogative.

2 MS. HARRISON: You have to go to the committee.

3 MR. MACH: But these have -- these have been --

4 MR. CAMPBELL: Point of order.

5 MR. MACH: These have been in place since '96

6 unchanged by the Navy.

7 MR. RATCLIFF: Then it's time to change them.

8 MR. CAMPBELL: Excuse me.

9 MS. HARRISON: You have a --

10 MR. CAMPBELL: Ahimsa --

11 MS. HARRISON: -- question on the floor.

12 MR. CAMPBELL: -- then --

13 DR. SUMCHAI: I --

14 MR. CAMPBELL: -- J. R.

15 DR. SUMCHAI: I have to -- I have to disagree

16 with you -- you, Richard. And I -- I pulled out a

17 dictionary myself and looked at Section 14, and I'm also

18 looking at the original -- I'm looking at all three

19 copies of the bylaws.

20 Essentially the wording is ambiguous, and this

21 is why it's a problem. The -- One of the major

22 problems is that there is a use of an apostrophe that

23 could be either plural or possessive. If you say

24 "co-chairs' term," it could be co-chairs, more than ones

25 [sic], or "co-chair's," belonging to the co-chair.

1           Now, the other thing is that the wording is  
2 ambiguous. Like, it starts out "The community co-chair  
3 shall serve a term of one year from July 1st to July --  
4 to June 30th," and that suggests there is one, but you  
5 could have two with overlapping terms.

6           Now, it ends "If a community co-chair . . . ,"  
7 plural. If you meant one, it would have said, "If the  
8 community co-chair resigns or loses their seat, a new  
9 co-chair will be elected . . . ."

10          So the problem with 14 is that the wording is  
11 ambiguous, and one of the things that the Bylaws  
12 Committee has to do is to change the wording so it is  
13 not ambiguous; and I will make some recommendations.  
14 But this is not clear, Richard. It is not clear.

15          MR. CAMPBELL: Dorothy and then Don. Look,  
16 we're --

17          MR. MANUEL: He said, "J. R."

18          MS. PETERSON: J. R.

19          MR. CAMPBELL: Excuse me. J. R. Okay. Sorry.

20          We're approaching 9 o'clock, and that  
21 was . . . so please go on.

22          MR. MANUEL: I'm -- I'm going to -- I'm going  
23 to try and make it very brief.

24          First off, I wholly disagree with what we -- we  
25 just heard simply because it's simple that it's -- it's

1 singular; it is "co-chair."

2 MS. HARRISON: It's not.

3 MR. MANUEL: How- -- what --

4 Let me finish.

5 However, I wholly agree with what he said  
6 earlier. It is very ambiguous as to how many co-chairs  
7 there were going to be. It was also ambiguous as to  
8 what the process was going to be.

9 I offered the candidates to be the same night  
10 and suggested it would be okay, but then it turned out  
11 to be like he suggested: You get a letter in the mail  
12 saying something else.

13 I believe what we should do is: We should have  
14 a vote as to how many co-chairs we want.

15 MS. HARRISON: It's --

16 MR. MANUEL: Then --

17 Well, I'm just saying what I -- my -- my  
18 thoughts are. You may not agree with them, but that's  
19 just my thoughts.

20 We should --

21 MS. HARRISON: I agree to that.

22 MR. MANUEL: We should -- we should vote on how  
23 many co-chairs we want as a -- as a group here and then  
24 vote on these co-chairs. I think that's the only  
25 reasonable and -- and civil way to do this. Otherwise,



1 somebody's going to be left out.

2 MR. CAMPBELL: Sure.

3 MS. ESPINOZA: That's the motion.

4 MR. TOMPKINS: Nine o'clock.

5 MR. CAMPBELL: There's a mo- --

6 MS. HARRISON: That's what I --

7 MR. CAMPBELL: Excuse me.

8 MS. HARRISON: I agree.

9 MR. RATCLIFF: There's a motion on the floor.

10 Move it.

11 MS. PETERSON: I was next.

12 MS. ATTENDEE: I was aware --

13 MR. TOMPKINS: We're having discussion.

14 MR. CAMPBELL: Don?

15 MR. PAUL: Okay. We have a motion on the floor

16 with a second that addresses that exact procedure. We --

17 I -- I can't call for the question because it's my

18 motion.

19 MR. MASON: We got to go.

20 MS. FOX: I call for the vote.

21 MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah.

22 MS. HARRISON: Please.

23 MR. CAMPBELL: Go ahead.

24 MS. PETERSON: Okay.

25 It says -- and I don't know how ambiguous that

1 is -- "At the first regular meeting of the RAB after the  
2 community co-chair term expires all community members of  
3 the RAB shall elect a co-chair."  
4           Now --  
5           MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. Let's call for the  
6 que- -- call --  
7           MR. TOMPKINS: May I call for the question?  
8           MR. CAMPBELL: All in favor?  
9           MR. TOMPKINS: Could we have a restatement of  
10 the motion, please --  
11          MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah, please.  
12          MR. TOMPKINS: -- for clarity?  
13          MR. PAUL: The motion is that yes, we have two  
14 co-chairs; no, we do not have two co-chairs.  
15          MR. MACH: Two community co-chairs.  
16          MR. PAUL: Yes --  
17          MR. TOMPKINS: Yes.  
18          MR. PAUL: -- exactly.  
19          MS. PETERSON: We already have two co-chairs.  
20          MR. MACH: Thank you, because I'm already a  
21 Navy co-chair.  
22          MR. PAUL: All right.  
23          MR. TOMPKINS: Right.  
24          MR. CAMPBELL: All in favor?  
25          MS. HARRISON: Of what? Wait, wait, wait,

1 wait, wait.

2 MR. TOMPKINS: If you vote yes, you want two  
3 co-chairs, correct?

4 MS. HARRISON: Okay.

5 MS. FOX: Yes.

6 MS. HARRISON: Yes.

7 MR. TOMPKINS: Yes.

8 THE BOARD: (Hands raised.)

9 MR. CAMPBELL: Against?

10 THE BOARD: (Hands raised.)

11 MR. RATCLIFF: Same four, huh?

12 MR. CAMPBELL: Abstain?

13 (No verbal response elicited.)

14 MR. CAMPBELL: Motion carries.

15 MR. TOMPKINS: Motion carries?

16 MR. CAMPBELL: (Nods.)

17 MR. PAUL: Yeah.

18 MR. TOMPKINS: Okay.

19 MS. FOX: So now we vote and take the two top  
20 vote getters?

21 MS. PETERSON: The two top votes --

22 MS. HARRISON: May -- may I suggest, so that we  
23 don't continue to have this problem, it seems like to me  
24 the problem see- -- lies within the bylaws.

25 I'm going to make this motion that -- so that

1 we can get out of here. I'm going to suggest that we  
2 straighten out the problems with this. We know -- We  
3 now know that we want two co-chairs, but we need to have  
4 it straight in here so that there will be no more  
5 question; there will be no more conversation about it.

6           It -- Since this document is not valid as it  
7 is right now; since we voted to send it back, then let's  
8 send it back with everything, and then we will then  
9 resume to vote for co-chairs after this document is --  
10 is straight and clear.

11           MR. PAUL: Make a motion to reflect the change.

12           MS. FOX: We need to vote.

13           MR. TOMPKINS: What was the motion, please?

14           MS. PETERSON: We need to go through the  
15 committee. Go through the committee.

16           MS. HARRISON: This is getting . . .

17           MR. TOMPKINS: I'm sorry. What was the motion  
18 up on the floor that was being considered prior from --  
19 to Marie there was a suggestion?

20           MS. FOX: That we call for the vote of the  
21 community co-chairs and top two vote getters --

22           MR. TOMPKINS: Not -- You're not asking to  
23 take the vote. I think it would be unfair because one  
24 of the candidates is not present.

25           MS. ESPINOZA: Yeah.

1           MR. TOMPKINS: And we would like them to speak  
2 and hear their view.

3           MS. PETERSON: You got people who've already  
4 voted. Come on.

5           MR. TOMPKINS: I don't know what you're --

6           MR. CAMPBELL: We are running out of time.  
7 So --

8           MS. HARRISON: Then I revert back to my  
9 original motion that we do not vote, period. We -- that  
10 the document itself that provides for it has to go back  
11 to the -- to the committee as it is right now.

12           That until these items are addressed and  
13 straightened out, I move that we then not vote for any  
14 two co-chairs until everyone is present. The document  
15 states that it is not clear. We send it back. It's  
16 already going back anyway. So let's straighten out  
17 those problems, and then we vote.

18           Can I get a "Yea"?

19           MR. RATCLIFF: You already voted.

20           MR. TOMPKINS: Those are nullified.

21           MS. PETERSON: No.

22           MR. CAMPBELL: Point of order. Let's -- We're  
23 going to call for the question now.

24           MR. PAUL: I would like one point -- one item  
25 discussion and amendment to that motion that the

1 rephrasing of the bylaws reflects the change that we  
2 just adopted.

3 MS. HARRISON: I --

4 MR. TOMPKINS: Yes.

5 MS. HARRISON: I accept that.

6 Can we vote on it now, please?

7 MR. CAMPBELL: Okay.

8 MR. MACH: I'm sorry. What was that last  
9 statement?

10 MR. PAUL: It's late, isn't it. Yeah, very  
11 late. I -- The amendment was that --

12 MS. HARRISON: Can I tell you later?

13 MS. ESPINOZA: The amendment --

14 MR. PAUL: The amendment was that the referral  
15 to the subcommittee --

16 MR. TOMPKINS: Reflect.

17 MR. PAUL: -- should the bylaws change to  
18 reflect the change we just adopted to have two community  
19 co-chairs.

20 MR. TOMPKINS: Two community co-chairs.

21 MS. FOX: Sounds good.

22 MR. MACH: Okay. The only o- -- the only other  
23 question I need to know the answer to is if you do push  
24 off the vote, everyone has only voted for one person. I  
25 need to know -- except for one person who voted for two.

1 I'm talking about the top two vote getters being that  
2 you still only get to choose one candidate.

3           You -- The two -- The subcommittee can decide  
4 that. I'm just saying, in -- in light of Maurice's  
5 thing, you send it back to --

6           MS. FOX: Let's put that to the subcommittee.

7           MR. RATCLIFF: That will be --

8           MS. HARRISON: That will come before the --

9           MR. TOMPKINS: Richard --

10          MR. CAMPBELL: Okay.

11          MR. TOMPKINS: -- excuse me. If --

12          MR. CAMPBELL: Ray.

13          MR. TOMPKINS: -- this was rejected that the  
14 change what was on the ballot, the language which was  
15 just voted, for two co-chairs --

16          MR. PAUL: -- it's a whole new deal.

17          MR. TOMPKINS: It's a whole new deal. Both  
18 ballots should be invalid, reissued -- once the  
19 subcommittee makes the amendments, reissue; then we  
20 should debate whether it should be a public vote or a  
21 ballot vote as in the bylaws if they can't make -- in  
22 other words, those ballots are nullified because the  
23 rule is changed. They are nullified.

24          MS. HARRISON: Can we just please --? Can --?

25          MR. PAUL: Call for question.

1 MS. HARRISON: Call for the question, please.  
2 MR. PAUL: Call for question.  
3 MR. TOMPKINS: Yeah, call for question.  
4 MR. CAMPBELL: Please, point of order.  
5 MS. HARRISON: Shush!  
6 MR. CAMPBELL: Point of order.  
7 MR. TOMPKINS: I know it's late.  
8 MR. CAMPBELL: We got a call for the question.  
9 MR. TOMPKINS: Thank you.  
10 MR. CAMPBELL: All in favor?  
11 ATTENDEE: Of what?  
12 MR. TOMPKINS: Of -- Please state the motion  
13 of sending -- sending . . . yeah, wait a minute.  
14 MR. MASON: It's already been --  
15 MR. TOMPKINS: The motion.  
16 MR. MASON: It's al- --  
17 MS. HARRISON: Okay. One more ti- -- one more  
18 time. The --  
19 MR. TOMPKINS: What's the issue?  
20 MS. HARRISON: The motion on the floor, Jesse,  
21 is that we do not vote on -- we do not accept any votes  
22 on any two co-chairs until the corrections are made  
23 within the bylaws.  
24 MR. MASON: I understand that.  
25 MS. HARRISON: That's what the question is.



1           So do we agree to do this? Yes or no.

2           MR. PAUL: With -- with the amendment.

3           MS. HARRISON: With the amendment.

4           MR. MASON: We already did it. We sent it

5 back.

6           MR. TOMPKINS: We sent it back already for

7 people who wanted to vote on it.

8           MR. ATTENDEE: Richard --

9           MR. RATCLIFF: Them ballots ain't no good.

10          MR. TOMPKINS: Okay.

11          MS. PETERSON: He ain't no member.

12          MR. CAMPBELL: Please, point of order.

13          Motion, please.

14          MR. TOMPKINS: People, I know it's late. But

15 let's -- let's finish up. I know -- Let's everybody

16 have patience with each other.

17          MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. There was restate --

18 restatement of the motion and call for the question.

19 Okay. Was a second on it. All in favor?

20          THE BOARD: Aye.

21          MR. CAMPBELL: Can I see a show of hands,

22 please?

23          Okay. Against?

24          MR. TOMPKINS: Abstentions.

25          MR. CAMPBELL: Abstaining?

1 MR. RODRIGUEZ: I abstain --

2 MR. CAMPBELL: Okay.

3 MR. RODRIGUEZ: -- because it's a moot point

4 anyway.

5 MR. CAMPBELL: The motion carries.

6 (Laughter.)

7 MS. ESPINOZA: I just want to say, the reason I

8 voted no is because all of you are throwing Robert's

9 Rules of Order, and you don't know what you're doing.

10 You had an amendment. The amendment was not

11 seconded. The amendment was to be voted on first, then

12 the main motion as amended or as not amended.

13 That's why I voted no, because if you're going

14 to use it --

15 MR. PAUL: Why didn't you introduce that at

16 the --

17 MS. ESPINOZA: -- don't abuse it.

18 MR. PAUL: -- correction to make?

19 MS. ESPINOZA: Use it correctly.

20 MS. HARRISON: Oh, please.

21 MR. ATTENDEE: Correct --

22 MR. MACH: Laurie -- Laurie, because they

23 didn't -- because they didn't vote to approve to -- to

24 change the meaning to the bylaws, it --

25 MS. HARRISON: We did.

1           MR. MACH:  -- the previous -- no.  No.  You --  
2 you voted to put it back to the subcommittee.  So you  
3 didn't approve them.

4           MR. CAMPBELL:  Right.

5           MR. MACH:  So the original bylaws did not have  
6 this RAB meeting following Robert's Rules of Order.

7           MR. CAMPBELL:  Right.

8           MR. MACH:  It said anything that is not part of  
9 the bylaws would be held --

10          MR. TOMPKINS:  Subject to Robert's -- Robert's  
11 Rules.

12          MR. MACH:  Nothing has ever been subject to  
13 Robert's Rules.

14          MS. PETERSON:  That's why we want Robert's  
15 Rules, so we can get some order.

16          MR. ATTENDEE:  Right.

17          DR. SUMCHAI:  The other point --

18          MS. HARRISON:  Can we go home now?

19          MR. CAMPBELL:  Do we have a motion?

20          MR. RODRIGUEZ:  I'd like to make a motion that  
21 we adjourn.

22          MS. ATTENDEE:  -- little --

23          MR. MASON:  I second it.

24          MR. CAMPBELL:  All in favor?

25          THE BOARD:  Aye.

1                   (Whereupon, said meeting ceases at  
2    9:06 p.m., 8/23/01.)  
3                   ---oOo---

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I, CHRISTINE M. NICCOLI, Certified Shorthand  
Reporter of the State of California, do hereby certify  
that the foregoing meeting was reported by me  
stenographically to the best of my ability at the time  
and place aforementioned.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF I have hereunto set my hand  
this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_.

---

CHRISTINE M. NICCOLI, C.S.R. NO. 4569